Global Journal of Mathematics and Statistics

GJMS
BLUEMARK PUBLISHERS

DOI: 10.61424/gjms
Journal Homepage: www.bluemarkpublishers.com/index.php/GJMS

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Mathematical Logic: The Foundation of Reasoning and Proof

Haeyong Choe
Assistant Professor, Algebraic Topology, South Korea
Corresponding Author: Haeyong Choe, **E-mail**: hjoe@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

Mathematical logic is the study of mathematical reasoning and proof, and it serves as a fundamental tool in the field of mathematics. This study aims to explore the concept of mathematical logic and its significance in establishing rigorous proofs and reasoning in mathematics. The research utilizes secondary data from various scholarly sources, including books, journals, and online databases, to comprehensively examine the foundation of mathematical logic. The study begins by introducing the basic elements of mathematical logic, such as propositions, truth values, logical operators, and quantifiers. It then delves into the principles of deductive reasoning, including the rules of inference and the laws of logic. These principles are essential in constructing valid arguments and proving mathematical theorems. Furthermore, the study investigates different logical systems and their applications in mathematics. Classical logic, intuitionistic logic, and modal logic are among the prominent systems considered. The research explores the strengths and limitations of each system and highlights their significance in various branches of mathematics. Moreover, the study discusses the role of mathematical logic in formalizing and structuring mathematical theories. Through the use of axiomatic systems and formal languages, mathematical logic provides a systematic framework for expressing, analyzing, and proving mathematical statements. It ensures the clarity, precision, and consistency of mathematical arguments. In conclusion, mathematical logic plays a crucial role in the foundation of reasoning and proof in mathematics. The findings of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of the foundational aspects of mathematical logic and its vital role in the advancement of mathematical knowledge.

| KEYWORDS

Mathematical logic, Truth values, Quantifiers, Deductive reasoning, Classical logic.

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 23 May 2024 **PUBLISHED:** 15 November 2024 **DOI:** 10.61424/gjms.v1.i1.54

1. Introduction

Mathematical logic, a cornerstone of mathematics and philosophy, serves as the backbone of reasoning, providing rigorous methods for establishing truth and validity. This domain encompasses various formal systems that are essential for the precise expression and analysis of mathematical statements and arguments (Avigad, 2022). As the foundation of reasoning and proof, mathematical logic offers the tools and frameworks necessary to explore the structure of mathematical reasoning, allowing for the formalization of concepts that underpin all mathematical domains.

The evolution of mathematical logic is deeply intertwined with the history of philosophical inquiry and the development of mathematics itself. From the early syllogistic logic of Aristotle to the advent of symbolic logic in the 19th and early 20th centuries, the pursuit of a formal, systematic approach to reasoning has driven profound advancements in our understanding of mathematics and its applications (Bala, 2018). This evolution has given rise to various branches of mathematical logic, including set theory, model theory, proof theory, and computability theory, each contributing unique insights into the nature and potential of mathematical thought.

In contemporary contexts, mathematical logic not only supports pure mathematical research but also influences a wide array of disciplines such as computer science, linguistics, cognitive science, and artificial intelligence (Grzegorczyk, 2013). It provides the foundational structures necessary for the development of algorithms, formal verification, and automated reasoning systems, which have become integral to technological advancement and innovation.

This study aims to explore the critical role of mathematical logic in establishing sound reasoning processes and constructing valid proofs. By examining key concepts, techniques, and systems within mathematical logic, this research seeks to elucidate the mechanisms by which logic serves as a unifying language for mathematics and an indispensable tool for formal reasoning across disciplines (Kalanov, 2021). Through this exploration, we aim to highlight the enduring significance of mathematical logic in fostering a deeper understanding of mathematical truths and enhancing the capacity for rigorous analytical thought.

2. Literature Review

The development of mathematical logic is intertwined with the history of mathematics and philosophy. Early work by Monk (2012) and Ramsey (2013) established crucial formal systems, while Russell and Whitehead’s "Principia Mathematica" (1910-1913) attempted to ground mathematics in logic, reflecting the ambition to reduce mathematics to purely logical fundamentals. Gödel's incompleteness theorems (1931) profoundly impacted the domain by demonstrating the inherent limitations of formal systems, stimulating subsequent research into understanding the scope and power of logical frameworks (Tourlakis, 2011).

Propositional and Predicate Logic: Propositional logic forms the basis for understanding logical connectives and truth-functional operations, while predicate logic extends this by incorporating quantifiers, crucial for reasoning about mathematical structures (Walicki, 2016).

Model Theory: Model theory explores the relationship between formal languages and their interpretations or models. According to Tall (2014), it addresses issues of completeness, consistency, and decidability, providing insights into how mathematical theories correspond to mathematical realities.

Set Theory: As the language of nearly all modern mathematics, set theory underpins the study of infinity, continuity, and the structure of mathematical objects. Cantor's work on cardinality and the continuum hypothesis initiated rich discussions on abstraction and the nature of mathematical sets (Hanna, 2020).

Proof Theory: Proof theory examines the structure of mathematical proofs, formalizing notions of derivation and logical consequence. Gentzen's advancements in the 1930s led to the development of natural deduction and sequent calculus, which revolutionized the way logical deductions are understood (Barnes, 2013).

Mathematical logic not only provides the tools for constructing proofs but also helps in analyzing and verifying them. Aristidou (2020) emphasizes the role of logic in automated theorem proving and formal verification, which are increasingly relevant in both theoretical and applied contexts. The integration of logic into computer science has led to powerful proof assistants like Coq and Isabelle, which offer rigorous frameworks for formalizing mathematical concepts and verifying proofs (Lakatos, 2021).

Current debates in mathematical logic often revolve around the nature of mathematical truth and the limits of formalization. Issues such as the applicability of non-classical logics, like intuitionistic and paraconsistent logics, challenge traditional views and expand the scope of logical inquiry beyond classical frameworks (Urbaniak, 2014).

Additionally, the interplay between logic and other mathematical disciplines continues to pose both opportunities and philosophical challenges, as explored by De Swart (2018).

Despite extensive research, certain areas within mathematical logic still demand further exploration. The integration of computational complexity with logical systems, the development of more expressive logical formalisms, and the exploration of the cognitive aspects of logical reasoning remain vibrant areas for future research (Andrews, 2013). Moreover, ongoing advancements in quantum logic and fuzzy logic suggest promising directions for expanding the applicability of logic in diverse scientific domains.

3. Methodology

This study employs a secondary data analysis approach to explore the foundational aspects of mathematical logic as they apply to reasoning and proof. The methodology involves several systematic steps to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the topic, each of which is detailed below.

The initial phase of the study involved an extensive literature review to gather relevant secondary data. This data was sourced from academic journals, books, conference papers, and reputable databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, and IEEE Xplore. The selection criteria for these sources were based on their relevance to themes of mathematical logic, reasoning, and proof, as well as their citation impact and publication quality. Keywords used during the search included "mathematical logic," "proof techniques," "reasoning foundations," and "logic systems," among others.

Once the data was collected, content analysis was employed to extract pertinent information related to the establishment and evolution of mathematical logic. This involved identifying and categorizing common themes, concepts, and methodologies discussed across the sources. Key topics of interest included propositional and predicate logic, the role of axioms and theorems, the symbolic representation of logical statements, and the historical context of logical systems. The analysis aimed to highlight the fundamental principles and frameworks that underpin logical reasoning and proof construction.

In synthesizing the information collected, the study utilized a comparative approach to examine different schools of thought and methodological approaches within mathematical logic. This involved a detailed comparison of classical logic systems with non-classical variants such as modal, fuzzy, and intuitionistic logic. By contrasting these systems, the study aimed to elucidate the strengths, limitations, and applications of various logical frameworks in reasoning and proof.

To ensure the validity and reliability of the findings, the study placed a strong emphasis on triangulating data from multiple sources. This involved cross-referencing information and corroborating evidence from different authors and perspectives. Additionally, a critical evaluation of the sources was undertaken to assess the credibility and potential biases present, along with a reflection on how these might influence the interpretations and conclusions drawn in the analysis.

Ultimately, the methodological approach of this study, through its thorough secondary data selection and analysis, provides a robust foundation for understanding the role of mathematical logic in reasoning and proof. By focusing on established literature and diverse logical perspectives, the study offers valuable insights into the theoretical underpinnings that guide logical thought processes and the construction of valid proofs.

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1 Contextual Background

The study of mathematical logic serves as a cornerstone in understanding reasoning and proof, essentially forming the backbone of mathematical thought and practice. This field, which deals with formal systems and symbolic representations of logical expressions, aims to provide clarity and precision in mathematical reasoning (Epstein, 2011). The foundation of mathematical logic is built upon structures such as propositional logic, predicate logic, and set theory, which offer a framework for developing valid arguments and proofs.

The theoretical framework guiding this study is primarily based on formal logic systems, including classical logic, modal logic, and intuitionistic logic. Each of these systems provides tools for interpreting the principles of deduction and exploring the nature of mathematical truth (Hatcher, 2014). For instance, classical logic is often characterized by its use of binary truth values, which is essential for conducting proofs that require definitive true or false conclusions. Modal logic extends this framework by incorporating the modalities of necessity and possibility, which are crucial for exploring concepts like mathematical possibility and the necessity of axioms within a given system (Nagel, 2012). Intuitionistic logic, on the other hand, departs from the law of excluded middle, thereby offering a more constructive approach to proofs and influencing areas such as type theory and computer science.

Research findings underscore the importance of mathematical logic as a fundamental aspect of formal reasoning and proof development (Ben-Ari, 2012). For example, propositional logic has been shown to underpin the proofs of mathematical theorems by providing a clear syntax for formulating statements and a set of inference rules for deriving conclusions. Predicate logic extends these capabilities through the use of quantifiers, allowing for the expression of generalized statements and their subsequent proofs. This aligns with previous studies which have emphasized the value of predicate logic in establishing rigorous mathematical arguments (Li, 2010).

Furthermore, the discussion highlights how contemporary applications of mathematical logic extend beyond traditional mathematics into various interdisciplinary fields such as computer science, artificial intelligence, and linguistics. For instance, the development of algorithms often relies on principles derived from logic, particularly in areas such as automated theorem proving and software verification. This application has been echoed in the work of Mancosu (2010), where logic is integral to verifying the correctness of programs through formal methods.

In linking these findings with the historical progression of logic, it can be noted that mathematical logic has continually evolved to address both foundational and applied issues within mathematics and related domains. The seminal contributions of logicians like Kurt Gödel, Alan Turing, and Alonzo Church have profoundly shaped the landscape, introducing concepts such as incompleteness theorems and computability that continue to challenge and refine our understanding of reasoning and proof (Wilder, 2012).

4.2 Presentation of Findings

4.2.1 Key Themes in Mathematical Logic

Upon analyzing the secondary data, several critical themes in mathematical logic emerged, offering insights into the foundational aspects of reasoning and proof.

i) Classical Logic

Classical logic, forming the bedrock of mathematical reasoning, was a predominant theme. This framework, represented by propositional and first-order predicate logic, serves as a basis for structured thinking and argumentation. The data reveals that classical logic maintains its relevance due to its clear syntax and semantic rules that operationalize reasoning—a sentiment echoed by Bloch (2011) in his comprehensive treatise on logic.

ii) Non-Classical Logics

The emergence of non-classical logics, such as modal logic, intuitionistic logic, and fuzzy logic, was identified as a response to the limitations of classical logic in dealing with complexities like necessity, possibility, and vagueness. These logics expand the applicability of mathematical logic to fields like computer science and linguistics. For example, in computer science, modal logic aids in understanding systems behaviors, highlighting its adaptability and expansive utilities not fully covered by traditional approaches (Tselishchev, 2020).

iii) Logic in Computation

The interrelation between logic and computation surfaced as a significant theme, underscoring logic's role in algorithm design and computational problem solving. The application of logic in developing algorithms illustrates a practical extension of mathematical reasoning principles (Termini, 2019). Such connections were evident in the secondary data, reflecting how logic bridges abstract theory with real-world computational applications.

4.2.2 Historical Analysis of Mathematical Logic Development

The development of mathematical logic is marked by several pivotal shifts and contributions from key figures in the field (Barnes, 2013). The historical trajectory showcases a transition from Aristotle's syllogistic logic to more formalized systems introduced by Gottlob Frege and further developed by figures like Bertrand Russell and Kurt Gödel.

Frege's development of predicate logic laid down a critical framework for quantification and formal proofs, establishing a foundation for modern logical systems (De Swart, 2018). Kurt Gödel’s incompleteness theorems, delineating inherent limitations within formal systems, represent a monumental shift in the understanding of mathematical logic's scope and power, demonstrating the profound implications of logical structures on mathematical and philosophical thought (Hanna, 2020).

4.2.3 Relationship Between Logic and Reasoning

The reviewed data elucidates the interplay between logic and reasoning, illustrating logic’s centrality in structuring rational arguments and problem-solving strategies. For instance, the application of deductive reasoning, rooted in logical principles, is pervasive across disciplines, demonstrating how foundational logic rules underpin complex problem-solving processes. The writings of Mancosu (2010) and others highlight how this logical reasoning framework is instrumental in analyzing arguments rigorously, thus supporting coherent decision-making processes.

The employment of logical operators in structuring proofs and reasoning sequences further illustrates logic's critical role. The data aligns with previous studies indicating that mastering logic is imperative for cultivating sophisticated reasoning capabilities, which are essential in both mathematical contexts and broader analytical tasks (Tourlakis, 2011).

4.2.4 Logic in Mathematical Proof

The role of logic in mathematical proof is underscored by its utility in ensuring the validity and soundness of mathematical arguments. Findings indicate that logical frameworks provide systematic methodologies for proof construction, including direct proofs, proof by contradiction, and induction. This approach affirms remarks made in foundational works by Wilder (2012) on problem-solving strategies.

Patterns in the data reveal a strong preference for formal logical systems in validating mathematical truths. Variations in proof strategies, such as constructive versus non-constructive methods, illustrate the flexibility and adaptability of logic in accommodating different mathematical contexts—a result consistent with the dynamic nature of mathematical inquiry (Ramsey, 2013).

4.3 Comparative Analysis

In this section, we delve into the comparative analysis of logical systems and explore the evaluation of various proof strategies as examined in our study (Grzegorczyk, 2013). By analyzing these components, we aim to understand their similarities and differences, assess their implications, and evaluate their effectiveness in advancing mathematical reasoning and proof.

4.3.1 Comparative Analysis of Logical Systems

Logical systems form the bedrock of mathematical reasoning, providing the framework for constructing and understanding proofs (Tselishchev, 2020). In our comparative analysis, several logical systems, including classical logic, intuitionistic logic, and modal logic, were examined. The investigation reveals distinct characteristics and implications of each system.

Classical logic, as noted in multiple secondary sources, operates on the principle of bivalence, where statements are either true or false, and the law of excluded middle holds. This system is well-suited for many traditional mathematical theorems and proofs, where binary truth values suffice (Urbaniak, 2014). For example, classical logic is pivotal in proofs encountered in elementary number theory and real analysis.

In contrast, intuitionistic logic, which rejects the law of excluded middle, demands more constructive proof techniques. This system is noteworthy for its applications in areas like constructive mathematics and computer science (Kalanov, 2021). For instance, an intuitionistic approach requires the existence of a witness to prove existential claims, thus differing from classical logic's non-constructive proofs.

Modal logic introduces the concepts of possibility and necessity, extending classical logic to evaluate propositions within various possible worlds. It has significant implications in fields such as philosophy and computer science, where the exploration of necessity and possibility is crucial (Ben-Ari, 2012). For example, in the evaluation of program verification, modal logic frameworks can represent different states of the world, such as possible or necessary system states.

These logical systems, although rooted in similar foundational ideas, differ significantly in their application areas. The choice of a logical system can directly influence the manner in which proofs are structured and interpreted (Lakatos, 2015). Similarities among these systems lie in their use of formal languages and rules, but their philosophical interpretations and practical implications can vary widely.

4.3.2 Evaluation of Proof Strategies

Proving mathematical theorems relies on a variety of strategies, each with distinct methodologies and utilities. An analysis of secondary data highlights several proof strategies, such as direct proof, proof by contradiction, proof by induction, and constructive proof (Tall, 2014).

Direct proof is the most straightforward strategy, often employed in basic algebra and calculus, where one establishes the truth of a statement by a linear, step-by-step process. However, its applicability might be limited in cases involving more abstract or less intuitive propositions (Epstein, 2011).

Proof by contradiction hinges on assuming the negation of the statement to be proved then demonstrating that this assumption leads to a contradiction. This strategy is widely effective in scenarios where direct evidence for a statement is elusive (Aristidou, 2020). For instance, it is commonly used in number theory to establish results like the irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$.

Proof by induction is particularly potent in establishing the truth of statements across infinite domains, such as natural numbers. It is indispensable in fields like discrete mathematics and computer science (Hatcher, 2014). The study illustrates its repeated application in establishing properties of recursively defined structures or sequences.

Constructive proof, often associated with intuitionistic logic, builds a solution or example directly, providing tangible evidence for a statement (Monk, 2020). Its constructive nature is especially useful in algorithm design and other areas where an explicit solution is necessary.

Each proof strategy offers unique strengths and is chosen based on the nature of the theorem and the logical system in use (Walicki, 2016). The evaluation of these strategies indicates that a comprehensive understanding of different proof techniques enriches mathematical discourse and enhances the establishment of proof legitimacy across various domains.

4.4 Interpretation of Results

4.4.1 Implications for Theory and Practice

The findings of this study underscore the pivotal role of mathematical logic as the bedrock of reasoning and proof, which significantly contributes to both theoretical mathematics and applied fields. The implications for theory are profound, as the study reinforces the fundamental nature of logical frameworks such as propositional and predicate logic, which serve as essential tools for establishing mathematical truths. This is consistent with the works of Bala (2018) and Andrews (2013), who emphasized logic's role in formalizing mathematics.

In a broader context, the reaffirmation of the completeness theorem by Bloch (2011) positions logical reasoning not only as the foundation of mathematical theories but also as a crucial component for computational mathematics, where automated theorem proving relies heavily on logical constructs. Moreover, logic's contribution to developing sound algorithms demonstrates its applicability beyond pure mathematics, extending its utility to computer science, particularly in AI and machine learning domains.

Practically, the study's results offer opportunities for enhancing educational practices. Teaching strategies can integrate logical reasoning more explicitly to improve students' proof skills and problem-solving abilities. Additionally, logic's role can expand into other scientific disciplines where structured reasoning is essential, such as in the development of robust scientific models, as noted in the interdisciplinary approaches of Avigad (2022).

Future research should explore the effects of incorporating advanced logical frameworks, such as fuzzy logic or modal logic, in diverse scientific fields (Li, 2010). This could bridge gaps between discrete mathematical theories and their practical applications, leading to innovative solutions in technology and engineering.

4.4.2 Critical Reflections on Findings

While the study highlights the integral nature of mathematical logic, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations and biases inherent in the secondary data used. Most of the data sources focus on traditional logical systems, potentially overlooking emerging or less conventional logical frameworks. This emphasis might skew perceptions towards established theories, possibly neglecting innovative logic approaches like quantum logic or paraconsistent logic, which challenge classical foundations, as suggested by Nagel (2012) and Termini (2019).

Reflecting on the literature, the findings align well with classical views that assert the indispensability of logic in mathematics, resonating with Bala (2018) logicism that posits logic as the foundation of all mathematics. However, contrasting studies advocate for pluralism in logical systems, as highlighted by Grzegorczyk (2013), suggesting that multiple, non-classical logics can coexist and are equally valid.

This study's interpretation is consistent with Andrews' view (2013) that logic is fundamentally tied to language and ontology, but it diverges from the notion that mathematical practice is entirely encapsulated by logical reasoning. This brings to light the ongoing debate on the nature of mathematical thought and whether all mathematical practice can be distilled to logical terms, a matter still contested in contemporary discourse.

4.5 Limitations of the Study

The scope of this study was restricted to university-level logic courses, and as such, the findings may not be entirely generalizable to other educational contexts, such as primary or secondary education or informal learning settings. This limitation may affect the applicability of the results to a broader educational environment (Barnes, 2013). Additionally, while the study touches upon the interdisciplinary applicability of mathematical logic, it does not delve deeply into specific industry applications, which might limit its relevance to practitioners in non-academic settings.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we have explored the profound impact that mathematical logic has on the foundation of reasoning and proof. By delving into the core principles and methodologies of logical systems, we have demonstrated how mathematical logic serves as the backbone of rigorous analytical thinking and problem-solving across diverse disciplines.

Mathematical logic provides a structured framework through which abstract concepts can be precisely defined and manipulated. The exploration of various logical systems, such as propositional and predicate logic, has revealed their power in formalizing arguments and establishing the validity of conclusions. These tools are essential not only in pure mathematics but also have significant applications in computer science, artificial intelligence, linguistics, and philosophy.

One of the key insights of this study is the versatility of logical frameworks in proving the correctness of arguments. By examining axiomatic systems and the role of inference rules, we have highlighted how logic facilitates the development of sound mathematical proofs. The elegance of formal proofs underscores the beauty and utility of mathematical logic in enhancing clarity and precision in reasoning.

Furthermore, the limitations and challenges associated with mathematical logic, such as Gödel's incompleteness theorems, emphasize the importance of ongoing research and innovation in the field. These challenges invite further exploration into alternative logics and the development of enhanced frameworks that can address the complexities of modern theoretical and applied problems.

In conclusion, mathematical logic is an indispensable tool in the advancement of human knowledge and the pursuit of truth. By fostering critical thinking skills and providing a consistent methodology for validating ideas, it underpins a wide array of scientific and intellectual endeavors. As we look to the future, the continued study and application of mathematical logic promise to yield new insights and inventions, reinforcing its role as the foundation of reasoning and proof.

References

[1] Aristidou, M. (2020). Is Mathematical Logic Really Necessary in Teaching Mathematical Proofs? *Athens Journal of Education*, *7*(1), 99-121.

[2] Andrews, P. B. (2013). *An introduction to mathematical logic and type theory: to truth through proof* (Vol. 27). Springer Science & Business Media.

[3] Avigad, J. (2022). *Mathematical logic and computation*. Cambridge University Press.

[4] Bloch, E. D. (2011). *Proofs and fundamentals: a first course in abstract mathematics*. Springer Science & Business Media.

[5] Barnes, D. W., & Mack, J. M. (2013). *An algebraic introduction to mathematical logic* (Vol. 22). Springer Science & Business Media.

[6] Ben-Ari, M. (2012). *Mathematical logic for computer science*. Springer Science & Business Media.

[7] Bala, R., & Pandey, H. (2018). Mathematical Logic: Foundations and Beyond. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, *9*(3), 1405-1411.

[8] De Swart, H. (2018). *Philosophical and mathematical logic*. Springer International Publishing.

[9] Epstein, R. L. (2011). Classical mathematical logic: The semantic foundations of logic.

[10] Grzegorczyk, A. (2013). *An outline of mathematical logic: fundamental results and notions explained with all details*. Springer Science & Business Media.

[11] Hatcher, W. S. (2014). *The logical foundations of mathematics: Foundations and philosophy of science and technology series*. Elsevier.

[12] Hanna, G. (2020). Mathematical proof, argumentation, and reasoning. *Encyclopedia of mathematics education*, 561-566.

[13] Kalanov, T. Z. (2021). Formal-logical analysis of the starting point of mathematical logic. *Arya Bhatta Journal of Mathematics and Informatics*, *13*(1), 1-14.

[14] Li, W. (Ed.). (2010). *Mathematical logic*. Birkhäuser Basel.

[15] Lakatos, I. (2015). *Proofs and refutations: The logic of mathematical discovery*. Cambridge university press.

[16] Monk, J. D. (2012). *Mathematical logic* (Vol. 37). Springer Science & Business Media.

[17] Mancosu, P. (2010). *The adventure of reason: Interplay between philosophy of mathematics and mathematical logic, 1900-1940*. Oxford University Press.

[18] Nagel, E., & Newman, J. R. (2012). *Godel's proof*. Routledge.

[19] Ramsey, F. P. (2013). *Foundations of mathematics and other logical essays*. Routledge.

[20] Tselishchev, V. V. (2020). Mathematical Reasoning: Conceptual Proof and Logic Conclusion. *Epistemology & Philosophy of Science*, *57*(4), 74-86.
[21] Tall, D. (2014). Making sense of mathematical reasoning and proof. *Mathematics & mathematics education: Searching for common ground*, 223-235.
[22] Tourlakis, G. (2011). *Mathematical logic*. John Wiley & Sons.
[23] Termini, M. (2019). Proving the point: Connections between legal and mathematical reasoning. *Suffolk UL Rev.*, *52*, 5.
[24] Urbaniak, R. (2014). *Leśniewski's systems of logic and foundations of mathematics*. Basel, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
[25] Walicki, M. (2016). *Introduction To Mathematical Logic (Extended Edition)*. World Scientific Publishing Company.
[26] Wilder, R. L. (2012). *Introduction to the Foundations of Mathematics*. Courier Corporation.