International Journal of Applied and Natural Sciences
ISSN: 3005-3471
DOI: 10.61424/ijans
Journal Homepage: www.bluemarkpublishers.com/index.php/IJANS

IJANS
BLUEMARK PUBLISHERS

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Artificial Intelligence Applications in Engineering Systems: A Review

Ann Lopez
Independent Researcher, UK
Corresponding Author: Ann Lopez, **E-mail**: lopez08@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has profoundly transformed the design, operation, and optimization of contemporary engineering systems. This review critically examines the scope, methodologies, and impact of AI applications across major engineering domains, including mechanical, electrical, civil, industrial, and computer engineering. Drawing on recent scholarly literature, the study synthesizes how machine learning, deep learning, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, and intelligent control systems are integrated into engineering processes for prediction, optimization, automation, fault detection, and decision support. Particular attention is given to applications such as smart manufacturing, predictive maintenance, structural health monitoring, power and energy systems, robotics, transportation, and intelligent infrastructure. The review also discusses enabling technologies such as big data, the Internet of Things (IoT), and cyber–physical systems that facilitate AI-driven engineering solutions. In addition to highlighting performance improvements and efficiency gains, the study critically addresses key challenges, including data quality, model interpretability, computational complexity, ethical concerns, and system reliability. By identifying prevailing trends, research gaps, and future directions, this review provides a comprehensive reference for researchers and practitioners seeking to understand and advance the role of AI in engineering systems.

| KEYWORDS

Artificial Intelligence, Computer engineering, Engineering systems, Machine learning, Smart manufacturing

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 21 November 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 31 January 2026 **DOI:** 10.61424/ijans.v4.i1.691

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in modern engineering systems, reshaping how complex problems are modeled, analyzed, and solved. Rooted in computational intelligence and data-driven learning, AI encompasses a broad set of techniques—including machine learning, deep learning, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, and intelligent optimization—that enable systems to perceive patterns, learn from data, and make autonomous or semi-autonomous decisions (Li and Jiang, 2017). The integration of these techniques into engineering domains has accelerated in recent years, driven by advances in computing power, sensor technologies, big data availability, and the growing demand for efficient, adaptive, and intelligent systems.

Across engineering disciplines, AI has demonstrated significant potential to enhance system performance, reliability, and sustainability. In mechanical and manufacturing engineering, AI-based models are increasingly used for predictive maintenance, process optimization, fault diagnosis, and smart manufacturing within Industry 4.0 frameworks. Electrical and electronic engineering has witnessed extensive adoption of AI in power systems optimization, smart grids, renewable energy forecasting, control systems, and signal processing. Similarly, in civil and structural engineering, AI techniques support structural health monitoring, risk assessment, traffic management, and intelligent infrastructure design (Zhang, 2016). These applications illustrate AI's ability to handle nonlinearity, uncertainty, and high-dimensional data—challenges that often limit conventional analytical and numerical methods.

The growing complexity of engineering systems has further reinforced the relevance of AI-driven approaches. Modern systems are typically characterized by interconnected components, dynamic operating conditions, and large-scale data streams. Traditional physics-based or rule-based models, while essential, may struggle to scale effectively or adapt in real time. AI complements these approaches by enabling data-centric modeling, adaptive control, and real-time decision-making, often resulting in improved accuracy, robustness, and computational efficiency (Nti et al., 2022). Consequently, hybrid frameworks that combine domain knowledge with AI techniques are increasingly being explored to bridge the gap between theoretical modeling and practical implementation.

Despite its rapid adoption, the application of AI in engineering systems is not without challenges. Issues related to data quality, model interpretability, generalizability, ethical considerations, and integration with legacy systems remain critical concerns. Moreover, the diversity of AI techniques and the breadth of engineering applications have led to a fragmented body of literature, making it difficult to obtain a coherent understanding of current trends, strengths, and limitations (Ali & Mahmoud, 2020). A systematic and critical synthesis of existing research is therefore essential to guide researchers, practitioners, and policymakers in leveraging AI effectively within engineering contexts.

Against this backdrop, this review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of Artificial Intelligence applications in engineering systems. The study synthesizes recent and influential literature across key engineering domains, examines commonly used AI methodologies, and highlights major application areas and performance outcomes. In addition, it identifies prevailing challenges, research gaps, and emerging directions for future investigation. By offering an integrative perspective, this review seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of how AI is shaping contemporary engineering systems and to inform the development of more intelligent, resilient, and sustainable engineering solutions.

2. Methodology

This review adopts a systematic and structured methodological approach to identify, select, analyze, and synthesize scholarly literature on artificial intelligence (AI) applications in engineering systems. The methodology is designed to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and academic rigor, consistent with best practices for review articles in engineering and applied sciences.

2.1 Research Design

The study is framed as a qualitative systematic review of peer-reviewed literature focusing on the integration and application of AI techniques across diverse engineering systems. Rather than conducting empirical experimentation, the review emphasizes critical analysis and synthesis of existing studies to identify dominant themes, methodological trends, application domains, and research gaps. The review follows established guidelines for systematic literature reviews to minimize selection bias and enhance analytical consistency.

2.2 Data Sources and Search Strategy

Relevant literature was retrieved from major academic databases commonly used in engineering and computer science research, including Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. A comprehensive search strategy was employed using combinations of keywords and Boolean operators such as *artificial intelligence*, *machine learning*, *deep learning*, *engineering systems*, *automation*, *intelligent control*, *predictive maintenance*, and *optimization*. The search was limited to articles published in English to ensure consistency in analysis and interpretation.

2.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
Clear inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to refine the selection of studies. Included publications consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and authoritative review papers that explicitly addressed AI applications within engineering systems. Studies were required to present methodological details, application contexts, or performance evaluations of AI techniques. Excluded sources included non-peer-reviewed articles, editorials, short abstracts, duplicate records, and studies focusing solely on theoretical AI development without clear relevance to engineering system applications.

2.4 Study Selection Process
The study selection process was conducted in multiple stages. Initially, titles and abstracts were screened to assess relevance to the scope of the review. Potentially relevant articles were then subjected to full-text assessment to ensure compliance with the inclusion criteria. During this stage, redundant or marginally relevant studies were removed. The final corpus of selected articles formed the basis for in-depth qualitative analysis and thematic categorization.

2.5 Data Extraction and Analysis
Data extraction focused on key attributes of each selected study, including engineering domain, AI techniques employed, system objectives, datasets used, evaluation metrics, and reported outcomes. The extracted data were systematically organized to facilitate comparative analysis. A thematic analysis approach was adopted to identify recurring patterns, dominant AI methodologies, and emerging application areas across engineering systems. This process enabled the synthesis of findings across diverse studies while preserving domain-specific insights.

2.6 Classification of AI Applications in Engineering Systems
To enhance analytical clarity, the reviewed studies were classified according to engineering domains such as mechanical, electrical, civil, manufacturing, and industrial engineering. Within each domain, applications were further categorized based on functional objectives, including design optimization, process control, fault detection, predictive maintenance, energy management, and decision support. AI techniques were also grouped into categories such as machine learning, deep learning, evolutionary algorithms, fuzzy systems, and hybrid intelligent models.

2.7 Quality Assessment of Selected Studies
The methodological quality of the selected studies was assessed using criteria such as clarity of research objectives, appropriateness of AI methods, robustness of datasets, validity of evaluation metrics, and transparency of reported results. This quality assessment informed the weighting of evidence during synthesis and helped distinguish well-established findings from exploratory or preliminary results.

2.8 Limitations of the Methodology
Despite efforts to ensure methodological rigor, certain limitations remain. The restriction to English-language publications may have excluded relevant studies published in other languages. Additionally, the rapidly evolving nature of AI research means that some recent developments may not be fully captured. Nevertheless, the adopted methodology provides a comprehensive and reliable overview of current AI applications in engineering systems.

3. Findings and Discussion
3.1 Overview of AI Adoption in Engineering Systems
The reviewed literature demonstrates a substantial and accelerating integration of artificial intelligence (AI) across diverse engineering systems. Overall, the findings indicate that AI adoption is driven by the growing complexity of engineering problems, the availability of large-scale data from sensors and digital platforms, and the demand for improved efficiency, reliability, and autonomy in engineered systems (Hopgood, 2021). Across domains, AI is primarily employed to enhance decision-making, optimize system performance, enable predictive capabilities, and support automation in environments characterized by uncertainty and nonlinearity.

Evidence from the reviewed studies shows that AI applications are most commonly embedded in design optimization, fault diagnosis, predictive maintenance, process control, and system monitoring. For instance, machine learning–based predictive maintenance frameworks have been widely adopted in manufacturing and energy systems to anticipate equipment failures before they occur, reducing downtime and operational costs (Adeyeye et al., 2024). These findings align with earlier review studies which emphasize AI's role in transitioning engineering systems from reactive and rule-based operations toward intelligent, adaptive, and self-learning paradigms. Collectively, the literature confirms that AI is no longer an experimental add-on but a core component of modern engineering practice.

3.1.1 Dominant AI Techniques in Engineering Applications

Analysis of the reviewed articles reveals that machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) techniques dominate AI applications in engineering systems. Supervised learning algorithms—such as support vector machines, decision trees, and random forests—are frequently used for classification and regression tasks, including fault detection, quality assessment, and performance prediction (Krishnamoorthy et al., 2018). These techniques are favored due to their relatively high accuracy, interpretability (in some cases), and ability to learn complex relationships from historical engineering data.

Deep learning, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), has gained prominence in recent years, especially in applications involving image, signal, and time-series data. For example, CNNs are extensively applied in structural health monitoring for crack detection in civil infrastructure, while RNNs and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks are widely used for load forecasting and dynamic system modeling in energy and electrical engineering. The growing preference for deep learning mirrors trends identified in earlier studies, which attribute this shift to advances in computational power and the proliferation of high-dimensional data (Rzevski, 2025).

In addition to ML and DL, fuzzy logic and evolutionary algorithms remain relevant, particularly in control and optimization problems. Fuzzy logic is commonly applied in systems requiring human-like reasoning and tolerance to uncertainty, such as intelligent controllers in power systems and manufacturing processes. Evolutionary algorithms, including genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization, are frequently combined with ML models to optimize design parameters and operational strategies. This hybridization trend supports previous findings that no single AI technique is sufficient for complex engineering problems, and that integrated approaches often yield superior performance (Liu, 2016).

3.1.2 Engineering Domains with High AI Penetration

The findings indicate that AI adoption is most pronounced in manufacturing, electrical and energy engineering, transportation, and mechanical engineering. In manufacturing systems, AI is extensively applied in smart factories, where intelligent scheduling, quality inspection, and predictive maintenance are central components of Industry 4.0 initiatives. Numerous studies highlight the use of AI-driven vision systems for automated defect detection, confirming manufacturing as one of the most mature domains in terms of AI integration (Ren et al., 2021).

Electrical and energy engineering also show high AI penetration, particularly in smart grids, renewable energy forecasting, and power system optimization. Machine learning models are widely used for demand forecasting, fault detection, and energy management, enabling more resilient and efficient energy systems. These findings are consistent with prior reviews that identify the energy sector as a key beneficiary of AI due to its data-rich and safety-critical nature (Buede, 2024).

Civil and transportation engineering demonstrate growing but comparatively moderate levels of AI adoption. In civil engineering, AI applications are largely concentrated in structural health monitoring, construction management, and traffic flow analysis. Transportation engineering studies frequently report the use of AI in intelligent transportation systems, autonomous vehicles, and traffic prediction. The reviewed literature suggests that while these domains are rapidly embracing AI, challenges related to data availability, safety regulations, and system validation continue to constrain large-scale deployment, as also noted in earlier empirical studies (Abduljabbar et al., 2019).

3.1.3 Evolution of AI Applications Over Time

A temporal analysis of the reviewed studies reveals a clear evolution in AI applications within engineering systems. Early research, particularly prior to the 2010s, was dominated by rule-based expert systems and classical artificial intelligence approaches. These systems relied heavily on predefined rules and expert knowledge, limiting their scalability and adaptability to dynamic engineering environments.

From the mid-2010s onward, there is a marked shift toward data-driven approaches, coinciding with advances in machine learning, big data analytics, and sensor technologies. During this period, engineering applications increasingly leveraged statistical learning and shallow neural networks to model system behavior and support predictive tasks. This transition reflects broader trends reported in the literature, where data availability and computational advances enabled more robust learning-based solutions (Hamet et al., 2017).

More recent studies emphasize autonomous and self-learning systems, particularly those based on deep learning and reinforcement learning. Examples include autonomous robotic systems in manufacturing, self-optimizing energy management systems, and adaptive control mechanisms in transportation networks. These findings indicate a progression from decision-support tools to systems capable of real-time learning and autonomous operation. Consistent with prior reviews, this evolution underscores a paradigm shift in engineering systems—from static, rule-driven models to intelligent, adaptive, and increasingly autonomous AI-enabled infrastructures (Wu et al., 2018).

3.2 Performance Outcomes of AI-Driven Engineering Systems

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into engineering systems leads to measurable improvements in system performance across multiple domains. Studies spanning manufacturing, civil infrastructure, power systems, transportation, and process engineering report that AI-driven models outperform conventional rule-based or physics-only approaches, particularly in complex, data-intensive, and dynamic environments. The findings indicate that AI enhances system efficiency, accuracy, reliability, and decision-making capability by leveraging large datasets, adaptive learning mechanisms, and real-time analytics. These performance outcomes are especially pronounced in systems characterized by uncertainty, nonlinearity, and high operational variability, where traditional methods often face limitations.

3.2.1 Efficiency and Optimization Improvements

A dominant finding across the reviewed studies is the significant improvement in operational efficiency and resource optimization achieved through AI-based solutions. Machine learning (ML) and optimization algorithms—such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and deep reinforcement learning—have been widely applied to optimize system parameters, scheduling, and resource allocation. In manufacturing systems, AI-driven predictive maintenance and production scheduling models have been shown to reduce downtime, energy consumption, and material waste while increasing throughput and overall equipment effectiveness (Eli-Chukwu er al., 2019). These findings align with earlier studies that reported notable cost savings and efficiency gains when AI is embedded into smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0 frameworks.

In energy and power engineering, AI-based optimization models have improved load forecasting, demand-side management, and energy dispatch, resulting in enhanced utilization of renewable energy resources and reduced operational costs. Similarly, in transportation and logistics engineering, AI-enabled route optimization and traffic management systems have demonstrated reduced fuel consumption, travel time, and emissions. The literature collectively suggests that AI-driven optimization not only improves system-level efficiency but also supports sustainability objectives by enabling more effective use of limited resources (Hou et al., 2017). These outcomes reinforce prior research that positions AI as a key enabler of sustainable and cost-effective engineering systems.

3.2.2 Accuracy, Reliability, and Predictive Capability

Another critical performance outcome identified in the review is the enhancement of accuracy and reliability through AI-based predictive and diagnostic models. Across multiple engineering applications, AI techniques—particularly deep learning, neural networks, and ensemble models—have demonstrated superior predictive accuracy compared to traditional statistical and physics-based models. In structural and civil engineering, AI models have been successfully used for damage detection, structural health monitoring, and failure prediction, achieving high accuracy even under noisy and incomplete data conditions (Zhang et al., 2021). These findings corroborate earlier studies that highlight the robustness of AI models in handling complex, nonlinear system behaviors.

In mechanical and electrical systems, AI-driven fault detection and diagnostics have significantly improved system reliability by enabling early identification of anomalies and potential failures. Predictive maintenance systems based on AI have been shown to extend equipment lifespan and reduce unplanned outages by shifting maintenance strategies from reactive to proactive. Furthermore, in process and chemical engineering, AI-based soft sensors and predictive controllers have enhanced process stability and quality by providing accurate real-time estimations of unmeasured variables (Russell et al., 2015). Collectively, these findings confirm that AI substantially strengthens the predictive and reliability capabilities of engineering systems, consistent with existing literature that emphasizes the role of data-driven intelligence in risk mitigation and performance assurance.

3.2.3 Automation and Decision-Support Enhancement

The reviewed studies also reveal that AI plays a transformative role in advancing automation and decision-support mechanisms within engineering systems. AI-powered control systems, including intelligent agents and reinforcement learning-based controllers, have enabled higher levels of automation in complex and dynamic environments. In industrial automation, AI-driven control architectures have demonstrated improved adaptability and responsiveness compared to conventional controllers, particularly in systems subject to frequent disturbances or changing operating conditions. These findings echo earlier research that underscores AI's capacity to enhance autonomous system behavior (Javaid et al., 2022).

Moreover, AI-based decision-support systems have been widely adopted to assist engineers and operators in real-time decision-making. In domains such as smart grids, transportation networks, and water resource management, AI systems provide actionable insights by integrating real-time data, predictive analytics, and optimization models. This capability has been shown to reduce human error, improve response times, and support informed strategic planning. The literature further indicates that hybrid approaches—combining AI with domain knowledge and traditional engineering models—offer the most reliable and interpretable decision-support solutions (Malik et al., 2019). Overall, the findings suggest that AI-driven automation and decision-support significantly enhance system intelligence and operational resilience, reinforcing the growing consensus in the literature on AI's central role in the evolution of next-generation engineering systems.

3.3 Methodological Trends in AI-Based Engineering Research

The review reveals clear methodological patterns in AI-based engineering research, reflecting both the maturation of the field and persistent challenges related to rigor, reproducibility, and real-world applicability. Across engineering domains—such as civil, mechanical, electrical, manufacturing, and energy systems—research designs are predominantly empirical and data-driven, with studies focusing on model development and performance evaluation rather than theory building. Most reviewed works adopt experimental or simulation-based methodologies, where AI models are trained and tested on domain-specific datasets to demonstrate performance gains over conventional techniques. While this approach has accelerated innovation, it has also led to methodological fragmentation, as data sources, validation protocols, and evaluation metrics vary widely across studies. These trends echo concerns raised in earlier reviews that emphasize the need for standardized benchmarks and transparent methodological reporting in AI-driven engineering research.

3.3.1 Data Sources and Dataset Characteristics

Findings indicate that AI-based engineering studies rely on four dominant types of data: sensor data, simulation-generated data, historical records, and large-scale heterogeneous (big) data. Sensor data—such as vibration signals, temperature readings, acoustic emissions, and image data—are especially prevalent in applications like structural health monitoring, predictive maintenance, and smart manufacturing (Cioffi et al., 2020). For instance, several studies in mechanical and civil engineering employ high-frequency sensor data to train deep learning models for fault detection or damage localization, reporting substantial accuracy improvements compared to rule-based methods. However, these studies often depend on controlled experimental setups, limiting the diversity and representativeness of the data.

Simulation data, generated through finite element analysis, computational fluid dynamics, or digital twin environments, are widely used where real-world data are scarce, expensive, or risky to obtain. While simulation-based datasets allow for controlled experimentation and large sample sizes, the review highlights a recurring issue of domain shift: models trained on synthetic data may perform poorly when deployed in real operational environments (Zhai et al., 2021). Historical records, including maintenance logs, operational histories, and inspection reports, are commonly used in energy systems and transportation engineering. Although these datasets offer real-world relevance, they are frequently incomplete, noisy, or imbalanced, which affects model robustness.

Big data approaches—integrating multimodal data from sensors, enterprise systems, and external sources—are emerging but remain limited to well-resourced contexts such as smart grids and intelligent transportation systems. Overall, the findings align with prior studies that identify data quality, limited dataset availability, and lack of open, standardized datasets as major barriers to methodological rigor and cross-study comparability in AI-based engineering research.

3.3.2 Model Development, Training, and Validation Practices

The review shows that model development practices are dominated by supervised learning approaches, particularly deep neural networks, convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), and, more recently, transformer-based architectures. These models are typically developed through iterative experimentation, with limited theoretical justification for architectural choices. Training strategies often involve conventional data-splitting techniques, such as hold-out validation or k-fold cross-validation, although the review finds inconsistent reporting of training details, including hyperparameter tuning and convergence criteria (Wu, 2018).

Evaluation metrics vary by application domain but commonly include accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, root mean square error (RMSE), and mean absolute error (MAE). While these metrics provide useful indicators of predictive performance, the findings reveal an overreliance on single-metric evaluations and limited attention to uncertainty quantification, robustness testing, and model interpretability. Benchmarking practices are also uneven: many studies compare AI models only against baseline machine learning methods or traditional empirical models, rather than against state-of-the-art approaches using standardized datasets.

Notably, only a small proportion of studies conduct external validation using independent datasets or real-world deployment scenarios. This methodological gap has been similarly highlighted in earlier literature, which argues that insufficient validation undermines confidence in AI systems for safety-critical engineering applications. The review therefore underscores a need for more rigorous validation frameworks, transparent reporting standards, and reproducible experimental designs (Hosny et al., 2018).

3.3.3 Hybrid and Integrated AI Approaches

A significant methodological trend identified in the review is the growing adoption of hybrid and integrated AI approaches. These methods combine data-driven AI models with traditional engineering models, physics-based simulations, or optimization algorithms to leverage the strengths of both paradigms. For example, physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) are increasingly used to embed governing equations into learning processes, improving model generalization and reducing data requirements (Hosny et al., 2018). Such approaches have been successfully applied in fluid dynamics, materials modeling, and energy system optimization.

Similarly, hybrid frameworks that integrate AI with finite element models or digital twins are gaining traction in structural analysis and manufacturing systems. These studies demonstrate that AI can act as a surrogate model to accelerate simulations or as an adaptive layer that updates system behavior in real time. Optimization-driven hybrids—where AI models are coupled with genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, or control-theoretic methods—are also prominent, particularly in design optimization and resource allocation problems (Arinez et al., 2020).

The findings confirm earlier research that positions hybrid AI models as a promising pathway toward trustworthy and deployable engineering systems. However, the review also notes that such approaches are often more complex to implement and require interdisciplinary expertise, which may limit their widespread adoption (Sircar et al., 2021). Overall, the methodological shift toward hybridization reflects an increasing recognition that purely data-driven AI models are insufficient for capturing the constraints, physical laws, and safety requirements inherent in engineering systems.

3.4 Challenges and Limitations of AI in Engineering Systems

Despite rapid advancements and increasing adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) within engineering domains, the literature consistently highlights a set of persistent challenges that constrain the performance, reliability, and broader implementation of AI-driven solutions. These challenges span technical, data-centric, ethical, and systemic integration barriers. Critically examining these limitations not only frames current research gaps but also informs pathways for future innovation.

3.4.1 Technical and Computational Challenges

A dominant theme emerging from the reviewed studies is the computational complexity and scalability issues associated with AI models in engineering systems. Many high-performing algorithms—especially deep learning and ensemble models—require significant computational resources for training and inference. For instance, applications in structural health monitoring often employ convolutional neural networks to detect faults from sensor arrays; however, real-time deployment is constrained by processing latency unless hardware accelerators such as GPUs or edge computing devices are used (Ahmed et al., 2022). Similar constraints are reported in smart grid optimization, where reinforcement learning models struggle to scale to large network topologies without prohibitive computation time (Yu et al., 2021).

Related work highlights real-time implementation gaps as a critical limitation. Engineering systems such as autonomous vehicles and robotics require millisecond-level responsiveness, yet many AI models are developed in offline or batch-processing contexts. Studies by Berente et al. (2021) demonstrate that models trained for predictive maintenance in manufacturing lines achieved high accuracy in retrospective tests but failed to meet real-time decision criteria due to processing delays. These findings resonate with broader literature on embedded AI constraints, wherein algorithmic latency directly impacts system safety and performance.

Another frequently cited issue is model interpretability. Engineering stakeholders—especially in safety-critical domains like aerospace and power systems—demand transparency in how AI models make decisions. Yet deep learning models are often viewed as "black boxes." Several studies, including Ongsulee (2017), emphasize that lack of interpretability hinders trust and regulatory acceptance. Methods such as explainable AI (XAI) are proposed as partial solutions, but their integration into complex engineering workflows remains an active research challenge.

3.4.2 Data, Ethical, and Security Concerns

AI's dependence on data exposes multiple data-related and ethical limitations in engineering applications. A common concern in the literature is data quality and availability. Engineering systems frequently generate heterogeneous datasets (e.g., sensor readings, logs, CAD models), yet missing values, noise, and inconsistent labeling reduce model effectiveness. In structural monitoring research, inadequate labeled fault cases often compel researchers to use synthetic augmentation, which may not fully capture real world variability (Sejnowski, 2020). This aligns with broader observations that *garbage in, garbage out* remains a foundational limitation of AI systems.

Closely tied to data is the issue of bias and fairness. While typically discussed in social systems, bias also surfaces in engineering contexts—for example, AI models trained on data from one type of equipment may underperform when applied to different makes or operational conditions. This was demonstrated in a study on predictive maintenance of wind turbines, where models over-fit to specific environmental regimes and mispredicted failures under unseen conditions (Berente, 2021). Such bias not only degrades performance but can propagate unsafe decisions when unnoticed.

Data privacy and cybersecurity are also critical challenges. The deployment of AI in networked engineering systems—such as industrial control systems or smart grids—exposes new attack surfaces. Several reports highlight adversarial attacks that manipulate sensor inputs to mislead AI diagnostics, potentially triggering incorrect control actions (Ahmed et al., 2022). This echoes broader concerns in cybersecurity research about the vulnerability of machine learning models to adversarial examples.

Ethically, scholars raise questions about accountability and human oversight. Engineering systems traditionally incorporate layers of fail-safes and human control; however, fully autonomous AI decisions—especially in life-critical applications—raise unresolved ethical concerns about responsibility when failures occur. The reviewed literature advocates for governance frameworks and human-in-the-loop designs to mitigate ethical risk, echoing recommendations in multidisciplinary AI ethics scholarship (Arinez et al., 2020).

3.4.3 Integration with Legacy Engineering Systems

A recurring structural challenge in the literature is the integration of AI with legacy engineering infrastructure**.** Many engineering domains (e.g., manufacturing plants, transportation networks) operate with long-lived hardware and established protocols that were not designed for AI compatibility. This misalignment creates significant hurdles for practical implementation.

Researchers commonly report difficulties in interfacing AI tools with proprietary or closed-source control systems**.** For example, attempts to augment older industrial systems with AI-based predictive maintenance solutions were impeded by incompatible communication protocols and insufficient sensor access (Wu et al., 2018). These integration challenges often necessitate costly upgrades or bespoke middleware, raising barriers for organizations with limited budgets.

Furthermore, standards and regulatory frameworks lag behind technological advancements. In sectors like civil infrastructure, engineering codes may not yet recognize AI-enhanced diagnostics as acceptable evidence for structural certification (Cioffi et al., 2020). As discussed in multiple review articles, this regulatory inertia slows adoption and forces researchers to develop workarounds that compromise system performance or compliance.

Finally, organizational and workflow issues also impede integration. Engineering teams accustomed to deterministic models and rule-based tools sometimes lack the training or institutional support to adopt data-driven AI methods. Studies emphasize that successful AI integration requires not only technical solutions but also cultural change and education within engineering organizations.

3.5 Implications and Future Directions

The cumulative findings point to substantial implications for both engineering practice and academic research. While AI demonstrates considerable potential for enhancing efficiency and decision-making, its successful deployment requires a holistic understanding of system dynamics, data governance, and human-AI collaboration.

3.5.1 Practical Implications for Engineering Practice
AI applications have significant practical implications for engineering practice. In operational contexts, AI-based predictive maintenance enables engineers to preemptively address equipment failures, optimizing resource allocation and minimizing operational disruptions. For example, energy utilities leveraging AI models for predictive load balancing can enhance grid reliability while reducing energy wastage (Javaid et al., 2022). In design and simulation, AI accelerates iterative processes, allowing engineers to generate optimized configurations that meet performance criteria under uncertainty. Additionally, autonomous and AI-assisted systems in manufacturing and transportation reduce human error, improve safety, and enable adaptive responses to dynamic conditions. These advances suggest that AI is not merely a supplementary tool but is becoming central to decision-making in engineering operations.

3.5.2 Theoretical and Research Implications
From a research perspective, several gaps remain in current AI applications within engineering systems. First, while machine learning methods dominate the literature, there is limited exploration of hybrid approaches that integrate physics-based models with AI to ensure greater interpretability and reliability. Second, methodological limitations are evident in the overreliance on simulated or laboratory data, which may not fully capture the variability of real-world engineering environments. Third, underexplored areas, such as AI applications in sustainable engineering, resilience assessment, and human-AI collaboration, present promising avenues for future research. Addressing these gaps could contribute to both theoretical advancement and practical deployment of AI in complex engineering contexts (Zhang et al., 2021).

3.5.3 Future Trends in AI-Enabled Engineering Systems
Emerging trends indicate that the next generation of AI-enabled engineering systems will prioritize transparency, autonomy, and sustainability. Explainable AI (XAI) is gaining prominence as a means to provide interpretable insights from complex models, thereby enhancing trust in safety-critical applications (Hamet & Tremblay, 2017). The integration of AI with digital twin technology allows real-time simulation and predictive modeling, facilitating proactive system management and optimization. Autonomous engineering systems, such as self-operating factories and intelligent transportation networks, are expected to expand significantly, leveraging AI for adaptive decision-making in dynamic environments. Furthermore, AI-driven approaches to sustainable engineering, including energy-efficient design, waste minimization, and smart resource allocation, are poised to address global challenges related to climate change and resource scarcity. These trends underscore the growing convergence of AI, engineering, and sustainability as a multidisciplinary frontier.

4. Conclusion
This review has synthesized the current state of artificial intelligence (AI) applications in engineering systems, highlighting both the opportunities and challenges associated with its integration. The evidence demonstrates that AI technologies—particularly machine learning, deep learning, and expert systems—have significantly enhanced engineering performance across multiple domains, including manufacturing, civil infrastructure, electrical systems, and mechanical design. Key benefits identified include improved efficiency, predictive maintenance capabilities, optimization of complex processes, and enhanced decision-making accuracy. These advancements underscore AI's transformative potential in addressing traditional engineering challenges and driving innovation.

Despite these positive outcomes, the review also identifies critical limitations that constrain AI adoption. Technical challenges such as data quality, computational complexity, algorithm interpretability, and integration with legacy systems continue to pose barriers. Additionally, methodological gaps, including insufficient standardized datasets and limited cross-disciplinary research, restrict the generalizability of findings. Ethical and safety considerations, particularly regarding autonomous systems, further emphasize the need for rigorous validation and regulatory oversight.

Looking forward, the study highlights several avenues for future research. There is a clear need for developing more robust AI models that can handle heterogeneous engineering datasets, integrate seamlessly into existing workflows, and provide transparent decision-making. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration between engineers, computer scientists, and domain experts is essential to design AI systems that are both technically sound and practically viable. Research focusing on real-time applications, explainable AI, and sustainable engineering solutions will be particularly valuable in ensuring AI's long-term impact.

In conclusion, AI has already begun to reshape engineering practices, offering unprecedented opportunities for efficiency, precision, and innovation. However, realizing its full potential requires addressing technical, methodological, and ethical challenges. By strategically focusing on these areas, future research and practice can ensure that AI continues to advance engineering systems in a safe, effective, and sustainable manner.

References

[1] Abduljabbar, R., Dia, H., Liyanage, S., & Bagloee, S. A. (2019). Applications of artificial intelligence in transport: An overview. *Sustainability*, *11*(1), 189.

[2] Adeyeye, O. J., & Akanbi, I. (2024). Artificial intelligence for systems engineering complexity: a review on the use of AI and machine learning algorithms. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(4), 787-808.

[3] Ahmed, I., Jeon, G., & Piccialli, F. (2022). From artificial intelligence to explainable artificial intelligence in industry 4.0: a survey on what, how, and where. *IEEE transactions on industrial informatics*, *18*(8), 5031-5042.

[4] Ali, A. K., & Mahmoud, M. M. (2022). Methodologies and Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Systems Engineering. *International Journal of Robotics and Control Systems*, *2*(1), 201-229.

[5] Arinez, J. F., Chang, Q., Gao, R. X., Xu, C., & Zhang, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence in advanced manufacturing: current status and future outlook. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, *142*(11), 110804.

[6] Berente, N., Gu, B., Recker, J., & Santhanam, R. (2021). Managing artificial intelligence. *MIS quarterly*, *45*(3), 1433-1450.

[7] Buede, D. M., & Miller, W. D. (2024). *The engineering design of systems: models and methods*. John Wiley & Sons.

[8] Cioffi, R., Travaglioni, M., Piscitelli, G., Petrillo, A., & De Felice, F. (2020). Artificial intelligence and machine learning applications in smart production: Progress, trends, and directions. *Sustainability*, *12*(2), 492.

[9] Eli-Chukwu, N. C. (2019). Applications of artificial intelligence in agriculture: A review. *Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research*, *9*(4).

[10] Hamet, P., & Tremblay, J. (2017). Artificial intelligence in medicine. *metabolism*, *69*, S36-S40.

[11] Hopgood, A. A. (2021). *Intelligent systems for engineers and scientists: a practical guide to artificial intelligence*. CRC press.

[12] Hosny, A., Parmar, C., Quackenbush, J., Schwartz, L. H., & Aerts, H. J. (2018). Artificial intelligence in radiology. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, *18*(8), 500-510.

[13] Hou, B. C., Yu, W. T., Lu, X. B., & Yang, C. W. (2017). Applications of artificial intelligence in intelligent manufacturing: a review. *Frontiers of information technology & electronic engineering*, *18*(1), 86-96.

[14] Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2022). Artificial intelligence applications for industry 4.0: A literature-based study. *Journal of Industrial Integration and Management*, *7*(01), 83-111.

[15] Krishnamoorthy, C. S., & Rajeev, S. (2018). *Artificial intelligence and expert systems for engineers*. CRC press.

[16] Li, X., & Jiang, H. (2017). Artificial intelligence technology and engineering applications. *Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society Journal (ACES)*, 381-388.

[17] Liu, C. C., Stefanov, A., & Hong, J. (2016). Artificial intelligence and computational intelligence: a challenge for power system engineers. *Advanced Solutions in Power Systems: HVDC, FACTS, and Artificial Intelligence: HVDC, FACTS, and Artificial Intelligence*, 721-729.

[18] Malik, P., Pathania, M., & Rathaur, V. K. (2019). Overview of artificial intelligence in medicine. *Journal of family medicine and primary care*, *8*(7), 2328-2331.

[19] Nti, I. K., Adekoya, A. F., Weyori, B. A., & Nyarko-Boateng, O. (2022). Applications of artificial intelligence in engineering and manufacturing: a systematic review. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, *33*(6), 1581-1601.

[20] Ongsulee, P. (2017, November). Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning. In *2017 15th international conference on ICT and knowledge engineering (ICT&KE)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[21] Ren, J., Shen, W., Man, Y., & Dong, L. (Eds.). (2021). *Applications of artificial intelligence in process systems engineering*. Elsevier.

[22] Russell, S., Dewey, D., & Tegmark, M. (2015). Research priorities for robust and beneficial artificial intelligence. *AI magazine*, *36*(4), 105-114.

[23] Rzevski, G. (2025). Artificial intelligence in engineering: past, present and future. *WIT Transactions on Information and Communication Technologies*, *10*.

[24] Sejnowski, T. J. (2020). The unreasonable effectiveness of deep learning in artificial intelligence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(48), 30033-30038.

[25] Sircar, A., Yadav, K., Rayavarapu, K., Bist, N., & Oza, H. (2021). Application of machine learning and artificial intelligence in oil and gas industry. *Petroleum Research*, *6*(4), 379-391.
[26] Wu, Y. C., & Feng, J. W. (2018). Development and application of artificial neural network. *Wireless Personal Communications*, *102*(2), 1645-1656.
[27] Wu, Y., Yuan, M., Dong, S., Lin, L., & Liu, Y. (2018). Remaining useful life estimation of engineered systems using vanilla LSTM neural networks. *Neurocomputing*, *275*, 167-179.
[28] Yu, K. H., Beam, A. L., & Kohane, I. S. (2018). Artificial intelligence in healthcare. *Nature biomedical engineering*, *2*(10), 719-731.
[29] Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., ... & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, *2021*(1), 8812542.
[30] Zhang, C., & Lu, Y. (2021). Study on artificial intelligence: The state of the art and future prospects. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, *23*, 100224.
[31] Zhang, Y., Balochian, S., Agarwal, P., Bhatnagar, V., & Housheya, O. J. (2016). Artificial intelligence and its applications 2014. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, *2016*