

---

## | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Burnout among Healthcare Professionals: A Review of Prevalence, Contributing Factors, and Organizational Interventions

**Mateo Robert**

*Independent Researcher, USA*

**Corresponding Author:** Mateo Robert, **E-mail:** Robert146@gmail.com

---

## | ABSTRACT

Burnout among healthcare professionals has become a major occupational health concern because of its implications for workforce well-being, patient safety, healthcare quality, and organizational sustainability. Healthcare workers frequently operate in demanding environments characterized by high workloads, emotional exhaustion, staffing shortages, administrative pressures, shift work, and sustained exposure to suffering and death. This review examines the prevalence of burnout among healthcare professionals, its principal contributing factors, its consequences for individuals and healthcare organizations, and the effectiveness of organizational interventions designed to prevent and mitigate its effects. The review synthesizes evidence from existing research on physicians, nurses, allied health professionals, and other healthcare workers, drawing attention to differences across professional groups, healthcare settings, measurement instruments, and geographical contexts. The literature indicates that burnout is widespread, although reported prevalence varies considerably according to the definition, assessment tool, population, and study period. Workload intensity, inadequate staffing, limited autonomy, poor leadership, insufficient recovery time, moral distress, and organizational injustice emerge as important contributors. Burnout is associated with reduced job satisfaction, absenteeism, turnover intentions, impaired professional functioning, and concerns regarding patient safety and quality of care. Evidence suggests that organizational interventions addressing working conditions, staffing, scheduling, leadership, teamwork, and employee participation are essential for sustainable improvement. Individual approaches, including mindfulness, resilience training, and psychological support, may provide additional benefits but cannot independently resolve structural workplace problems. The review concludes that healthcare organizations should adopt integrated, evidence-informed strategies that combine prevention, early identification, supportive leadership, and continuous evaluation. Addressing burnout requires treating professional well-being as a core organizational responsibility rather than solely an individual obligation.

## | KEYWORDS

Healthcare professionals; occupational burnout; emotional exhaustion; workplace stress; organizational interventions.

## | ARTICLE INFORMATION

**ACCEPTED:** September 15, 2026    **PUBLISHED:** October 22, 2026    **DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.61424/ijmhr.v4i4.1110>

---

## 1. Introduction

Burnout among healthcare professionals has attracted increasing attention from researchers, healthcare administrators, policymakers, and professional organizations because of its implications for employee well-being and the delivery of safe, effective, and sustainable healthcare. Healthcare professionals perform emotionally

**Copyright:** © 2026 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC- BY-NC-SA) License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>), published by Bluemark Publishers.

demanding and technically complex responsibilities, often under conditions involving time pressure, unpredictable workloads, limited resources, and high expectations from patients, families, and healthcare institutions (Kumar, 2016). Although professional commitment and compassion are central to healthcare practice, prolonged exposure to occupational stress without adequate organizational support may undermine workers' emotional, psychological, and professional functioning. Burnout is therefore increasingly understood as an occupational phenomenon arising from sustained workplace stress that has not been successfully managed, rather than simply as a consequence of individual weakness or insufficient resilience.

The World Health Organization included burnout in the 11th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) as an occupational phenomenon rather than a medical condition. It is characterized by three dimensions: feelings of energy depletion or exhaustion, increased mental distance from one's job or feelings of negativism or cynicism toward work, and reduced professional efficacy. These dimensions provide a useful framework for understanding how prolonged workplace stress may affect healthcare workers' relationships with their responsibilities, colleagues, and patients (Chirico, 2021). Burnout should also be distinguished from related concepts such as depression, anxiety, and general occupational stress, although these conditions may overlap and require appropriate professional assessment.

The prevalence of burnout varies considerably across healthcare professions, countries, specialties, and measurement approaches. Physicians working in emergency medicine, intensive care, surgery, and other high-pressure specialties may face substantial workloads, difficult clinical decisions, and prolonged exposure to adverse patient outcomes. Nurses frequently encounter staffing shortages, rotating shifts, physical demands, and intensive interpersonal responsibilities. Allied health professionals, pharmacists, laboratory personnel, and community health workers may experience different combinations of workload pressures, role ambiguity, limited resources, and inadequate recognition (Amiri, 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted these challenges through increased clinical demand, infection risks, moral distress, workforce shortages, and disruption of established working arrangements. Nevertheless, burnout is not confined to crisis periods; it can develop through persistent organizational deficiencies in otherwise routine healthcare environments.

The consequences of healthcare professional burnout extend beyond individual dissatisfaction. Burnout has been associated with turnover intentions, reduced work engagement, absenteeism, diminished organizational commitment, and concerns about the quality and safety of patient care. In their systematic review and meta-analysis, Getie (2025) found that physician burnout was associated with poorer patient care and professional outcomes, while emphasizing the importance of considering the heterogeneity of the available evidence. Similarly, Sharif (2024) identified relationships between healthcare staff well-being, patient safety, and quality of care, although differences in study design and outcome measurement limit the strength of causal conclusions. These findings suggest that burnout should be treated as a workforce sustainability and healthcare quality issue rather than solely as an individual occupational health concern.

The causes of burnout are multifaceted and involve interactions among individual circumstances, professional demands, team relationships, and organizational structures. The job demands–resources model proposed by Al-Anzi (2025) explains that excessive demands may deplete workers' physical and psychological resources, while resources such as autonomy, supervisory support, adequate staffing, and opportunities for development can support engagement and help manage demands. In healthcare, high patient-to-staff ratios, extended shifts, administrative burden, limited control over clinical work, workplace conflict, and inadequate institutional support may create conditions in which burnout becomes more likely. Conversely, supportive leadership, fair scheduling, effective teamwork, psychological safety, and meaningful employee participation may protect professional well-being.

Although individual-focused interventions, including mindfulness, stress management, and resilience training, have received considerable attention, their effectiveness depends partly on whether the workplace conditions generating chronic stress are also addressed. Belisha (2025), in a systematic review and meta-analysis of interventions to

prevent and reduce physician burnout, found evidence that both individual-directed and organizational or structural approaches could produce improvements. However, the literature continues to face challenges involving inconsistent burnout definitions, variable measurement instruments, limited longitudinal evidence, and differences in intervention design. These limitations make it difficult to identify a single intervention that is equally effective across professional groups and healthcare settings.

Against this background, the present review examines the prevalence and distribution of burnout among healthcare professionals, identifies the major individual, occupational, interpersonal, and organizational factors contributing to its development, evaluates its consequences for healthcare workers and service delivery, and discusses organizational interventions that may prevent or reduce burnout (Dugani, 2018). By integrating evidence from previous empirical studies, systematic reviews, and relevant conceptual frameworks, the review seeks to clarify how healthcare organizations can create healthier working environments while maintaining patient safety, service quality, and workforce sustainability.

## **2. Methodology**

### **2.1. Review Design**

This study adopted a narrative literature review approach to synthesize existing evidence on burnout among healthcare professionals, with particular emphasis on prevalence, contributing factors, consequences, and organizational interventions. A narrative review was appropriate because the topic encompasses diverse professional groups, healthcare settings, measurement instruments, explanatory frameworks, and intervention strategies. The review integrated findings from empirical studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and authoritative publications to develop a broad understanding of the factors associated with burnout and the organizational responses that may mitigate it. Rather than collecting new primary data, the study critically examined previously published evidence to identify recurring findings, areas of agreement, methodological limitations, and priorities for future research.

### **2.2. Literature Search Strategy**

Relevant literature was identified through searches of major academic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. Publications from the World Health Organization and other relevant professional and institutional sources were also considered to establish authoritative definitions and contextual information. Search terms included combinations of healthcare professional burnout, physician burnout, nurse burnout, burnout prevalence, occupational stress in healthcare, emotional exhaustion, organizational factors, workplace interventions, staff well-being, and patient safety. Additional searches focused on organizational interventions involving staffing, workload management, leadership, scheduling, workplace culture, employee support, and professional autonomy. Boolean operators, including AND and OR, were used conceptually to combine related terms and broaden or refine the literature search. Reference lists of relevant systematic reviews and influential studies were also examined to identify additional publications.

### **2.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

The review prioritized peer-reviewed empirical studies, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and relevant conceptual publications addressing burnout among practicing healthcare professionals. Studies involving physicians, nurses, allied health professionals, and multidisciplinary healthcare teams were considered, provided they contributed to at least one of the review's principal objectives. Research examining prevalence, associated occupational factors, professional outcomes, patient safety, and interventions at individual, team, or organizational levels was eligible for consideration. Publications addressing burnout definitions, validated measurement instruments, and established theoretical frameworks were included where they supported interpretation of the evidence.

Studies focused exclusively on populations outside healthcare were excluded unless they provided a directly relevant theoretical contribution. Publications that did not address burnout or related occupational well-being outcomes were also excluded from the substantive synthesis. Particular attention was given to studies that clearly described their populations, measurement methods, and principal findings. Because the review was designed as a

narrative synthesis, the inclusion process was guided by relevance and contribution to the research objectives rather than by a claim that every eligible publication had been exhaustively identified.

#### **2.4. Data Extraction and Thematic Synthesis**

Information from the selected literature was organized according to publication characteristics, healthcare professional group, study design, burnout measurement approach, reported prevalence, contributing factors, associated outcomes, and intervention strategies. Findings were then synthesized thematically under four principal areas: the prevalence and distribution of burnout; individual, occupational, interpersonal, and organizational contributors; consequences for healthcare professionals and healthcare delivery; and organizational interventions for prevention and mitigation. Where systematic reviews or meta-analyses provided pooled estimates, these findings were distinguished from estimates reported by individual studies. This distinction was important because burnout prevalence can vary according to the instrument used, the thresholds applied, the professional population studied, and the period of data collection.

The thematic synthesis also considered the job demands–resources model (Elbarazi, 2017), which explains how excessive work demands and insufficient resources may contribute to exhaustion and disengagement. Evidence from intervention studies was examined to distinguish approaches directed primarily at individuals from structural or organizational changes. Particular emphasis was placed on whether interventions addressed the underlying conditions of work, whether improvements were sustained, and whether outcomes extended beyond self-reported burnout to organizational functioning or patient care.

#### **2.5. Quality Appraisal and Methodological Considerations**

The credibility of the synthesis was assessed through consideration of study design, transparency of reporting, appropriateness of burnout measures, and the consistency of findings across different evidence sources. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were used to contextualize findings from individual observational studies, while intervention research was examined for evidence of change over time and the suitability of its comparison groups. Studies relying on cross-sectional self-reports were interpreted cautiously because they may identify associations without establishing causal relationships. Differences in burnout definitions, sampling procedures, response rates, and national healthcare systems were also considered when comparing reported prevalence.

The review has limitations. As a narrative synthesis, it does not establish a complete inventory of all published evidence, and it does not claim to have followed a prospectively registered systematic review protocol. No new pooled prevalence estimate was calculated, and the methodological quality of all included studies was not assessed through a single standardized scoring procedure. Consequently, the findings should be interpreted as a critical synthesis of relevant published evidence rather than as a definitive estimate of the global prevalence or comparative effectiveness of every burnout intervention.

### **3. Findings and Discussion**

#### **3.1. Prevalence and Distribution of Burnout Among Healthcare Professionals**

##### **3.1.1. Overall Prevalence and Measurement Differences**

The reviewed literature indicates that burnout is a substantial occupational concern across healthcare professions, although its reported prevalence varies considerably across studies. Differences in the definition of burnout, assessment instruments, professional populations, and thresholds used to classify individuals contribute to this variability. The Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), for example, assesses emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment, whereas other instruments, including the Copenhagen Burnout Inventory, assess burnout through different dimensions (Kesarwani, 2020). As a result, prevalence estimates obtained using different instruments or classification rules are not necessarily directly comparable.

The WHO's ICD-11 definition provides an important conceptual foundation by identifying exhaustion, mental distance or cynicism, and reduced professional efficacy as the principal dimensions of occupational burnout. However, the WHO definition does not establish a universal numerical threshold for diagnosing burnout.

Consequently, studies that classify participants according to a single elevated subscale may produce different prevalence estimates from studies that require multiple dimensions to be elevated.

Bria (2012) demonstrated the importance of interpreting prevalence estimates in relation to study populations and intervention contexts. Their systematic review and meta-analysis included 15 randomized trials involving 716 physicians and 37 cohort studies involving 2,914 physicians. Across the intervention studies reporting overall burnout, the pooled proportion decreased from 54% before intervention to 44% afterward. These figures describe the specific studies and comparisons included in that analysis; they should not be interpreted as a current global prevalence estimate for all healthcare professionals. Nevertheless, they demonstrate both the substantial burden observed in some physician populations and the possibility of improvement following intervention.

The evidence therefore supports an important distinction between the widespread occurrence of burnout and the difficulty of assigning a single prevalence figure to the entire healthcare workforce. Reliable comparisons require researchers to report the instrument used, the classification threshold, the occupational group, the study period, and the characteristics of the sample (Sharifi, 2020). Standardized reporting would improve the usefulness of prevalence estimates for workforce planning and organizational decision-making.

### ***3.1.2. Differences Across Healthcare Professions and Specialties***

Burnout is not distributed uniformly across healthcare professions. Physicians may experience intense diagnostic and treatment responsibilities, administrative demands, time constraints, and pressure to make consequential decisions under uncertainty. Medical residents and early-career physicians may face additional challenges associated with demanding training schedules, hierarchical supervision, and limited control over working arrangements (Batanda, 2024). Physicians in emergency medicine, intensive care, and other high-acuity specialties may encounter particularly demanding combinations of unpredictable workloads, critical decisions, and emotionally difficult clinical outcomes.

Nurses experience a different but overlapping pattern of occupational demands. Direct patient care, physical workload, shift rotation, emotional labor, staffing shortages, and responsibility for coordinating care can contribute to persistent exhaustion. In understaffed wards, nurses may have insufficient time to complete required tasks, communicate with patients, or recover between shifts (Van Mol, 2015). Such circumstances can create a mismatch between professional expectations and the resources available to fulfill them. Allied health professionals, pharmacists, laboratory staff, and community health workers may also experience burnout associated with workload, role ambiguity, limited professional recognition, or inadequate institutional support.

However, these patterns should not be interpreted as evidence that one profession universally experiences greater burnout than another. Differences in study populations, responsibilities, organizational arrangements, and measurement methods make direct comparisons difficult. A more useful interpretation is that burnout reflects the interaction between the demands specific to a professional role and the resources available within the relevant workplace (Agata, 2023). Accordingly, prevention strategies should be tailored to the conditions experienced by different occupational groups rather than applied uniformly across all departments.

### ***3.1.3. Geographic and Contextual Differences***

The prevalence and expression of burnout are also influenced by differences in healthcare infrastructure, financing, staffing, professional expectations, and access to occupational support. Healthcare systems with persistent workforce shortages, high patient volumes, inadequate equipment, or limited opportunities for professional development may expose workers to sustained demands without sufficient resources (Dijxhoorn, 2021). In resource-constrained settings, healthcare professionals may experience additional pressures associated with supply interruptions, limited specialist coverage, inadequate physical infrastructure, and competing demands for scarce personnel.

For example, a nurse working in a high-volume public hospital with limited staffing may experience a different pattern of occupational strain from a nurse in a well-resourced facility with more predictable scheduling and access to support services. Similarly, healthcare workers in rural or remote communities may face professional isolation, restricted access to specialist consultation, and difficulties obtaining leave or replacement staff (Morgantini, 2020). These contextual differences influence both the underlying causes of burnout and the feasibility of particular interventions.

The COVID-19 pandemic further illustrated how sudden increases in service demand, uncertainty, infection risks, and resource limitations can intensify existing workforce pressures. Nevertheless, pandemic-related findings should be distinguished from evidence concerning routine working conditions because emergency circumstances may produce distinctive patterns of occupational stress (Nagle, 2024). Cross-national comparisons therefore require attention to the period of data collection, local healthcare capacity, workforce composition, and the availability of institutional support. More longitudinal and geographically diverse research is needed to clarify how these factors interact over time.

### ***3.2. Contributing Factors to Burnout Among Healthcare Professionals***

#### ***3.2.1. Workload, Staffing Shortages, and Work–Life Imbalance***

Excessive workload and inadequate staffing emerge as important contributors to healthcare professional burnout. Healthcare workers frequently manage competing clinical, administrative, and interpersonal responsibilities within limited timeframes. High patient volumes, extended shifts, repeated overtime, insufficient breaks, and unpredictable work schedules may reduce opportunities for physical and psychological recovery (Bridgeman, 2018). When these pressures persist, workers may experience increasing exhaustion and difficulty maintaining engagement with their professional responsibilities.

The job demands–resources model provides a useful explanation for this relationship. Salvado (2021) argue that demanding work conditions require sustained effort and may deplete individual resources, particularly when adequate organizational resources are unavailable. In healthcare, demands may include patient acuity, documentation requirements, emotional labor, and staffing constraints, while resources include sufficient personnel, effective equipment, managerial support, schedule flexibility, and professional autonomy. Burnout becomes more likely when demands remain high and available resources are inadequate.

For instance, a hospital department that regularly operates below its required staffing level may depend on repeated overtime to maintain essential services. Although this arrangement may temporarily preserve service delivery, it can reduce recovery time, increase fatigue, and make continued reliance on the same workforce increasingly difficult (Green, 2020). Similarly, excessive administrative documentation may reduce the time clinicians can devote to direct patient care, creating frustration and a perceived loss of professional purpose.

Work–life imbalance can intensify these pressures. Rotating shifts, night work, last-minute schedule changes, and difficulties obtaining leave may interfere with sleep, family responsibilities, and social relationships. Over time, inadequate recovery can compound occupational demands and increase the likelihood of disengagement (Alhassan, 2025). These findings indicate that workload reduction, realistic staffing arrangements, protected breaks, and predictable scheduling should be treated as central organizational priorities rather than optional employee benefits.

#### ***3.2.2. Emotional Demands, Moral Distress, and Exposure to Suffering***

Healthcare professionals routinely encounter pain, serious illness, uncertainty, death, and distress among patients and their families. Managing these situations requires clinical competence alongside emotional regulation, empathy, communication, and ethical judgment (Taranu, 2022). Although emotional engagement is integral to effective healthcare, sustained exposure to difficult experiences without adequate opportunities for reflection and recovery may contribute to emotional exhaustion.

Moral distress represents an additional source of occupational strain. It can arise when healthcare professionals believe that a particular course of care is ethically appropriate but face institutional, financial, staffing, or procedural barriers that prevent them from acting accordingly. For example, a clinician may recognize that a patient requires additional monitoring but be unable to provide it because several other patients require urgent attention and staffing is insufficient (Wu, 2024). Repeated experiences of this kind can create frustration, guilt, and a sense of professional powerlessness.

The emotional burden may be particularly pronounced in intensive care, oncology, emergency medicine, and other settings involving high-acuity patients or frequent exposure to adverse outcomes. During periods of exceptional service demand, clinicians may also have to make difficult decisions about resource allocation (Gómez-Gascón, 2013). Such experiences can undermine professional meaning when workers believe that the organization does not provide the resources needed to deliver appropriate care.

However, emotional demands do not inevitably lead to burnout. Supportive teams, adequate staffing, effective supervision, opportunities for debriefing, and access to confidential psychological support may help professionals process difficult experiences. Organizational responses should therefore combine appropriate emotional support with changes to prevent avoidable moral distress, particularly where institutional constraints repeatedly prevent staff from delivering the standard of care they consider necessary (Razai, 2023).

### **3.2.3. Leadership, Organizational Culture, and Professional Autonomy**

Leadership quality and organizational culture play important roles in shaping employees' experiences of work. Managers influence staffing decisions, workload distribution, communication, recognition, access to resources, and opportunities for professional development. When leaders respond constructively to staff concerns and involve employees in decisions affecting clinical practice, workers may experience greater control, fairness, and organizational support (Ahmed, 2023). Conversely, poor communication, inconsistent decision-making, punitive management, and inadequate recognition may intensify workplace frustration.

Professional autonomy is particularly relevant in healthcare because clinical work requires informed judgment and the ability to respond to changing patient needs. Excessive bureaucratic restrictions, unrealistic performance targets, and limited participation in operational decisions may create a mismatch between professional responsibility and decision-making authority (Catapano, 2023). Healthcare workers may become increasingly disengaged when they are held accountable for outcomes but lack the resources or authority required to influence them.

Organizational justice also matters. Perceived unfairness in shift allocation, promotion, remuneration, recognition, and access to training may undermine trust and commitment. For example, a department in which overtime is distributed inconsistently or staff concerns are repeatedly ignored may develop a culture of resentment that compounds the effects of an already demanding workload (Dubale, 2019). Such conditions may encourage absenteeism and intentions to leave, further aggravating staffing shortages.

These findings suggest that burnout prevention requires more than improving interpersonal relationships or offering occasional appreciation activities. Healthcare leaders need to establish transparent decision-making processes, fair workload allocation, constructive feedback mechanisms, and meaningful opportunities for staff participation (Ashraf, 2023). Leadership development should emphasize supportive supervision, respectful communication, conflict resolution, and the ability to identify organizational conditions that contribute to occupational strain.

### **3.2.4. Administrative Burden, Digital Systems, and Work Intensification**

Administrative requirements and poorly integrated digital systems represent additional sources of occupational pressure. Electronic health records, mandatory reporting, repeated data entry, insurance documentation, scheduling systems, and compliance requirements can consume substantial amounts of professional time (Khan, 2024).

Although these systems may improve coordination, accountability, and information accessibility, their design and implementation can create additional work when they are not aligned with clinical workflows.

For example, a physician may spend considerable time documenting encounters in an electronic health record after completing direct patient care. A nurse may have to enter similar information into several disconnected systems, while also responding to patients and coordinating treatment. When documentation requirements extend beyond scheduled working hours or reduce opportunities for meaningful patient interaction, workers may experience frustration and diminished professional satisfaction (Tanios, 2022).

Technology-related pressures should not be attributed to digitalization itself. Well-designed systems can reduce repetitive tasks, improve information access, and support clinical decision-making. The organizational problem arises when technology introduces additional demands without removing redundant procedures, providing adequate training, or involving users in system design. Healthcare institutions should therefore conduct workflow assessments before introducing new technologies, simplify unnecessary documentation, improve interoperability, and provide training and technical support (Dyrbye, 2017). Administrative staff and clinical informatics teams can also help identify repetitive tasks that can be redesigned or automated appropriately. Such changes may reduce avoidable workload while preserving the documentation and accountability required for safe clinical practice.

### ***3.3. Consequences of Burnout for Healthcare Professionals and Organizations***

#### ***3.3.1. Psychological Well-Being, Job Satisfaction, and Professional Engagement***

Burnout can negatively affect healthcare professionals' job satisfaction, motivation, and sense of professional accomplishment. Emotional exhaustion may make routine responsibilities feel increasingly demanding, while cynicism or mental distancing can weaken engagement with colleagues, patients, and organizational goals. Reduced professional efficacy may also undermine confidence in one's ability to provide effective care, particularly when workers repeatedly encounter conditions that prevent them from meeting their own professional standards. These effects may develop gradually (Kumar, 2016). A healthcare professional who initially experiences occasional fatigue may become increasingly frustrated when workload pressures persist and managerial responses remain inadequate. Reduced opportunities for recovery, recognition, and professional development may then contribute to diminished enthusiasm for work. In this context, burnout is not simply a temporary response to a busy shift; it may reflect a sustained mismatch between occupational demands and available resources.

Burnout is also associated with outcomes such as reduced organizational commitment, lower work engagement, and intentions to leave employment. Although these outcomes do not occur in every case, they can create substantial workforce challenges when experienced across a department or institution. Healthcare organizations may consequently face additional recruitment, onboarding, and training costs, alongside the loss of experienced professionals and institutional knowledge. It is important to distinguish burnout from mental health conditions such as depression and anxiety (Chirico, 2021). Although they can coexist and share certain symptoms, they are not interchangeable concepts. Organizations should avoid assuming that all distress represents burnout or that occupational interventions alone will address every mental health concern. Appropriate assessment, confidential support, and access to qualified healthcare services remain important components of workforce well-being.

#### ***3.3.2. Patient Safety and Quality of Care***

Burnout has important implications for patient safety because healthcare delivery depends on sustained attention, effective communication, sound judgment, and coordinated teamwork. Exhausted professionals may find it more difficult to maintain concentration during repetitive tasks, manage competing demands, or communicate clearly under pressure. Cynicism and disengagement may also affect interpersonal interactions, potentially weakening patient trust and the quality of professional communication. Amiri (2024), in a systematic review of healthcare staff well-being, burnout, and patient safety, identified 46 studies examining these relationships. Among the 30 studies measuring burnout, 21 reported a significant association between burnout and patient safety, while four additional studies reported associations for at least some burnout subscales. The authors nevertheless emphasized that the limited availability of prospective studies restricted conclusions about causality.

Similarly, Getie (2025) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis examining physician burnout in relation to patient safety, professionalism, and patient satisfaction. Their findings reinforced concerns about the association between physician burnout and poorer professional and patient-care outcomes. However, associations observed in the literature should not be interpreted as proof that burnout independently causes every adverse clinical outcome. Staffing shortages, excessive workloads, inadequate equipment, and organizational deficiencies may contribute simultaneously to both burnout and patient safety problems.

A practical example is a hospital ward where persistent understaffing results in high workloads, reduced time for communication, and frequent interruptions. These conditions may increase the likelihood of incomplete handovers or delayed completion of routine care while also increasing the risk of staff exhaustion. Addressing the problem therefore requires coordinated improvements in staffing, workflow, communication protocols, and supervision rather than simply instructing employees to manage stress more effectively (Sharif, 2024). The evidence supports integrating staff well-being into patient safety programs. Organizations can monitor burnout alongside staffing levels, incident reports, missed care, patient experience, and turnover. Such monitoring should be designed to identify system-level risks and guide improvement, not to punish individual employees for reporting fatigue or occupational distress.

### **3.3.3. Absenteeism, Turnover, and Healthcare System Sustainability**

Burnout can undermine healthcare system sustainability by contributing to absenteeism, reduced work engagement, and intentions to leave a role or profession. When employees perceive that workplace demands are persistently excessive and organizational support is inadequate, they may seek employment in less demanding settings, reduce their working hours, or leave healthcare altogether. Even when workers remain employed, prolonged exhaustion and disengagement may reduce the organization's ability to retain an experienced and motivated workforce. Turnover can create a reinforcing cycle (Al-Anzi, 2025). When experienced employees leave, remaining staff may have to cover additional shifts, support less experienced colleagues, and assume greater responsibility for maintaining service delivery. Recruitment and onboarding take time, and newly appointed staff may require considerable support before becoming fully integrated into the team. If staffing gaps persist, the additional demands placed on remaining employees may increase the risk of further burnout.

For example, repeated departures from an emergency department can reduce continuity within clinical teams and place additional pressure on experienced nurses and physicians. Temporary staffing arrangements may provide short-term relief but may not fully compensate for lost institutional knowledge or established working relationships. These challenges are particularly consequential in services that depend on coordinated teamwork and specialist experience. Burnout prevention should consequently be viewed as a workforce retention strategy as well as an occupational health priority (Belisha, 2025). Healthcare institutions should examine turnover patterns, sickness absence, exit interview findings, and staff survey results to identify departments experiencing persistent difficulties. Improvements in working conditions, fair scheduling, career development, managerial support, and professional recognition may help strengthen retention while supporting continuity and organizational performance.

## **3.4. Organizational Interventions for Preventing and Reducing Burnout**

### **3.4.1. Workload Management, Adequate Staffing, and Flexible Scheduling**

Organizational interventions that address the structural causes of burnout are essential for achieving sustainable improvements in healthcare professional well-being. Adequate staffing, manageable workloads, predictable scheduling, protected breaks, and sufficient recovery time can reduce the cumulative demands placed on healthcare workers. Unlike interventions that focus exclusively on individual coping strategies, these measures target workplace conditions that may contribute directly to exhaustion and disengagement (Dugani, 2018). Healthcare organizations should begin by assessing staffing requirements in relation to patient acuity, service demand, professional responsibilities, and the availability of appropriately qualified personnel. Staffing decisions based solely on patient numbers may fail to account for differences in clinical complexity or the time required for communication, documentation, and coordination. More comprehensive workforce planning can help distribute responsibilities fairly and identify departments where persistent staffing gaps require corrective action.

Scheduling practices should also be reviewed. Rotating shifts, repeated overtime, unpredictable rosters, and insufficient rest between shifts may undermine recovery and contribute to fatigue. Advance publication of schedules, transparent allocation of unpopular shifts, protected leave, and opportunities for staff to communicate scheduling constraints may improve working conditions. Flexible scheduling should nevertheless be implemented in ways that preserve adequate clinical coverage and avoid transferring excessive burdens to colleagues. Workload management should extend to administrative processes (Elbarazi, 2017). Eliminating duplicate documentation, simplifying unnecessary procedures, and ensuring that staff have functioning equipment can reduce avoidable demands. For example, a hospital that combines duplicated reporting requirements and introduces more effective handover procedures may free up professional time without compromising accountability or patient safety.

Kesarwani (2020) provide relevant evidence that interventions can reduce physician burnout, although their review included both individual-directed and organizational approaches and does not establish that every structural intervention will be effective in every setting. Their findings support a combined approach in which healthcare institutions evaluate and modify work conditions alongside providing appropriate individual support.

### ***3.4.2. Supportive Leadership, Teamwork, and Participatory Management***

Supportive leadership can strengthen professional well-being by improving communication, increasing perceived organizational support, and ensuring that employees can raise concerns without fear of humiliation or retaliation. Healthcare managers are often responsible for translating institutional policies into daily working conditions. Their decisions regarding workload distribution, performance expectations, staff recognition, and conflict resolution can therefore influence whether employees experience their workplace as fair and supportive (Bria, 2012). Participatory management is particularly valuable because healthcare professionals possess practical knowledge of the demands and limitations of clinical work. Involving frontline employees in decisions about scheduling, workflow redesign, equipment procurement, and service organization can help identify problems that may not be apparent to senior administrators. Participation can also improve the acceptability of interventions and encourage collective responsibility for workplace improvement.

Effective teamwork provides an additional source of support. Regular team meetings, structured handovers, interdisciplinary collaboration, peer mentoring, and clear role allocation can reduce avoidable communication failures and interpersonal conflict. In a busy ward, for example, a short, structured team briefing may clarify patient priorities, identify anticipated staffing pressures, and establish how responsibilities will be shared during the shift. Psychological safety is equally important (Sharifi, 2020). Employees should be able to report excessive workloads, near misses, difficult ethical situations, and concerns about management without being automatically regarded as incompetent or uncommitted. A supportive reporting culture allows organizations to identify recurring problems before they become more serious. Managers should respond to staff concerns with clear explanations, practical corrective measures, and follow-up communication.

These interventions require organizational consistency. A leadership training program is unlikely to produce sustained benefits if managers lack the authority or resources to improve staffing and working conditions (Batanda, 2024). Leadership development should therefore be accompanied by clear accountability, appropriate decision-making authority, and measurable organizational objectives related to workforce well-being.

### ***3.4.3. Employee Assistance, Psychological Support, and Resilience Programs***

Employee assistance programs, confidential counseling, peer support, stress management, and mindfulness-based interventions may help healthcare professionals manage occupational strain and respond to difficult experiences. These approaches can provide practical coping skills, emotional support, and opportunities to reflect on professional challenges (Van Mol, 2015). They may be particularly useful for workers experiencing distress following difficult clinical events or during periods of unusually high demand.

Agata (2023) found that both individual-focused and organizational or structural interventions were associated with reductions in physician burnout. In their meta-analysis, overall burnout among participants in the relevant

intervention studies declined from 54% to 44%. The authors concluded that both approaches could produce meaningful improvements but emphasized the need for further research on the most effective interventions for particular populations. The results support offering individual assistance while recognizing that it is not a substitute for organizational change.

In practice, healthcare institutions can provide confidential counseling, facilitated peer-support sessions, access to qualified mental health professionals, and optional programs that teach stress-management skills. Support should be accessible across shifts and locations, including to night workers, temporary staff, and professionals in rural facilities. Employees should also be informed about confidentiality arrangements and the circumstances under which information may need to be shared. However, resilience initiatives should not imply that employees are responsible for adapting indefinitely to unsafe or unreasonable working conditions (Dijxhoorn, 2021). A mindfulness session cannot compensate for chronic understaffing, and an employee assistance program cannot independently resolve persistent workplace bullying or unfair scheduling. Programs should therefore be integrated into a broader strategy that addresses workload, organizational culture, and access to professional resources.

The effectiveness of support programs should be evaluated through participation, accessibility, employee feedback, and changes in relevant well-being outcomes. Low participation should not automatically be interpreted as a lack of need; it may reflect inconvenient scheduling, stigma, concerns about confidentiality, or limited awareness of available services (Morgantini, 2020).

#### **3.4.4. Organizational Culture, Recognition, and Professional Development**

A positive organizational culture can protect professional well-being by promoting respect, fairness, inclusion, and recognition of employees' contributions. Healthcare workers may experience greater professional satisfaction when their expertise is valued, their concerns receive constructive responses, and they have opportunities to develop their skills. Conversely, repeated experiences of disrespect, exclusion, inadequate recognition, or unfair treatment may intensify workplace dissatisfaction. Recognition does not necessarily require substantial financial expenditure (Nagle, 2024). Constructive feedback, acknowledgment of effective teamwork, fair performance evaluation, and opportunities to participate in service improvement can help communicate that professional contributions matter. However, recognition should be authentic and equitable rather than used to compensate symbolically for excessive workloads or inadequate remuneration.

Career development is another important component. Mentoring, continuing professional education, leadership development, and transparent promotion pathways can strengthen professional growth and provide opportunities to expand responsibilities meaningfully. Early-career staff may benefit from structured supervision and mentorship, while experienced professionals may value opportunities to lead quality improvement projects or contribute to clinical education. Organizational culture also influences how institutions respond to errors, conflict, and emotional distress (Bridgeman, 2018). A punitive environment may discourage staff from discussing difficulties, whereas a learning-oriented culture can encourage early reporting and constructive problem-solving. Such an environment should maintain accountability while distinguishing between inadvertent errors, system deficiencies, and deliberate misconduct.

Ultimately, cultural interventions are most effective when supported by tangible changes in workplace practices. Healthcare organizations should assess whether employees experience fair treatment, access to development, meaningful recognition, and confidence that their concerns will be addressed (Salvado, 2021). Regular staff engagement surveys and structured feedback discussions can help identify areas requiring improvement.

#### **3.4.5. Integrated Burnout Prevention and Continuous Evaluation**

The available evidence supports an integrated approach that combines organizational reform, team-level support, and individual assistance. Green (2020) demonstrated that interventions can improve burnout outcomes among physicians, while Alhassan (2025) highlighted the importance of staff well-being in patient safety. Together, these

findings support treating workforce well-being as an organizational and service-quality priority rather than as a separate employee welfare activity.

An integrated program should begin with a workplace assessment that identifies major sources of occupational strain. This may include staff surveys, workload reviews, staffing data, interviews, and analysis of absenteeism and turnover. Findings should then inform interventions tailored to specific departments and professional groups. For instance, a department experiencing repeated overtime may need scheduling reform, while a team reporting poor communication may benefit from improved handover procedures and participatory leadership. Evaluation should examine both employee and organizational outcomes (Taranu, 2022). Relevant indicators include emotional exhaustion, cynicism, professional efficacy, job satisfaction, sickness absence, turnover, overtime, staffing stability, and employee perceptions of leadership. Where appropriate, institutions may also monitor patient safety indicators and patient experience, while recognizing that these outcomes are influenced by multiple factors beyond burnout.

Baseline measurements and follow-up assessments can help determine whether changes are sustained. Comparison across departments or implementation periods may provide useful evidence, although differences in workload, patient populations, and staffing must be considered. Evaluations should also record intervention implementation, participation, resource requirements, and unintended consequences. For example, introducing a new scheduling system may improve predictability for one group while concentrating undesirable shifts among another (Wu, 2024). Similarly, reducing administrative tasks may be beneficial only if the revised process maintains necessary clinical information. Continuous evaluation allows organizations to identify such problems and adjust interventions accordingly.

A further priority is to institutionalize responsibility for burnout prevention. Senior management, clinical leaders, human resources departments, occupational health services, and frontline representatives should share clearly defined responsibilities (Gómez-Gascón, 2013). Dedicated resources, regular reporting, and transparent follow-up can prevent well-being initiatives from becoming short-term projects that disappear when organizational priorities change.

### ***3.5. Research Gaps and Future Directions***

#### ***3.5.1. Standardization of Burnout Measurement***

A major limitation in the existing literature is the absence of a universally applied measurement and classification approach. Different instruments assess different dimensions of burnout, and studies may use different thresholds to classify participants as experiencing elevated or high burnout. Consequently, apparently conflicting prevalence estimates may reflect methodological differences rather than genuine differences in workforce well-being. Future research should report the specific instrument, scoring approach, classification criteria, study population, and data collection period (Razai, 2023). Researchers should also distinguish between the prevalence of individual burnout dimensions and the proportion of participants meeting a clearly defined composite classification. Consistent reporting would improve comparability across professions, countries, and healthcare settings.

Measurement should also account for the distinction between burnout and related psychological outcomes. Studies that assess burnout alongside depression, anxiety, sleep difficulties, and general occupational stress may provide a more comprehensive understanding of workforce well-being (Ahmed, 2023). However, these outcomes should remain conceptually distinct rather than being combined into a single measure without justification.

#### ***3.5.2. Longitudinal Evidence and Intervention Effectiveness***

Much of the burnout literature relies on cross-sectional surveys, which provide valuable information about associations but cannot reliably establish the direction of relationships. For example, excessive workload may contribute to burnout, but burnout may also influence employees' perceptions of workload and their capacity to manage daily responsibilities. Organizational characteristics may influence both outcomes simultaneously. Longitudinal studies are needed to examine how burnout develops, persists, and changes in response to alterations in working conditions (Catapano, 2023). Repeated assessments could establish whether staffing improvements,

scheduling changes, leadership interventions, or administrative reforms produce sustained benefits. Cluster-randomized trials, controlled before-and-after studies, and well-designed natural experiments may also help clarify intervention effectiveness where feasible.

Researchers should examine whether improvements in self-reported burnout correspond with changes in absenteeism, retention, patient safety, and other organizational outcomes. Longer follow-up periods are particularly important because short-term improvements may not persist once the initial intervention ends (Dubale, 2019). Economic evaluations would also help decision-makers compare the costs of interventions with their potential workforce and service-delivery benefits.

### **3.5.3. Underrepresented Settings and Equitable Interventions**

The evidence base would benefit from broader representation of healthcare professionals working in low- and middle-income countries, rural facilities, community health services, long-term care, and other settings that may be underrepresented in published research. Healthcare workers in these environments may face distinctive pressures related to resource availability, staffing, infrastructure, access to specialist support, and geographical isolation. Future studies should examine how organizational interventions can be adapted to local conditions without assuming that strategies developed in well-resourced healthcare systems can be transferred unchanged (Ashraf, 2023). In settings where additional recruitment is difficult, for example, workflow redesign, fairer scheduling, improved supervisory support, and better allocation of existing resources may be especially important, although such measures cannot fully replace adequate staffing.

Research should also investigate whether burnout and access to organizational support differ according to career stage, employment arrangements, disability, caregiving responsibilities, and other relevant circumstances. These analyses should be designed carefully to protect confidentiality and avoid stigmatizing particular groups. Understanding differences in access to support can help organizations develop more equitable interventions. Finally, future research should investigate the long-term effects of integrated organizational programs that combine workload reform, supportive leadership, employee participation, and confidential psychological support (Khan, 2024). Comparative evidence is needed to determine which combinations are most effective, under what conditions, and at what cost. Such research would strengthen the evidence available to healthcare administrators and policymakers seeking sustainable improvements in professional well-being.

## **4. Conclusion**

Burnout among healthcare professionals represents a significant occupational and organizational challenge with implications for workforce well-being, patient safety, service quality, and the long-term sustainability of healthcare systems. This review indicates that burnout is associated with multiple interacting factors, including excessive workloads, staffing shortages, inadequate recovery time, emotional demands, moral distress, limited professional autonomy, administrative burdens, and unsupportive organizational cultures. Although its prevalence varies across professions, healthcare settings, geographical regions, and measurement instruments, the available evidence demonstrates the importance of addressing burnout through systematic and context-sensitive interventions. Organizational strategies involving adequate staffing, manageable workloads, predictable scheduling, supportive leadership, participatory decision-making, improved teamwork, and confidential psychological support offer complementary approaches to prevention and mitigation. Evidence from previous systematic reviews also suggests that both organizational and individual-focused interventions can improve burnout outcomes, although further longitudinal research is needed to establish their comparative effectiveness and sustainability. Importantly, resilience training and employee assistance programs should complement rather than replace reforms addressing the structural conditions of work. Healthcare institutions should therefore integrate workforce well-being into strategic planning, occupational health policies, quality improvement initiatives, and patient safety programs. Regular monitoring of burnout, employee feedback, staffing conditions, turnover, and relevant service outcomes can help organizations evaluate progress and refine their interventions. Future research should prioritize standardized measurement, longitudinal designs, underrepresented healthcare settings, and economic evaluations of integrated prevention strategies. Ultimately, reducing burnout requires a sustained institutional commitment to

creating working environments in which healthcare professionals have the resources, support, autonomy, and opportunities for recovery necessary to provide safe, compassionate, and effective care.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use Disclosure:** The authors declare that no artificial intelligence tools were used in the preparation of this manuscript.

## References

- [1] Agata, S., Grzegorz, W., Ilona, B., Violetta, K., & Katarzyna, S. (2023). Prevalence of burnout among healthcare professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic and associated factors—a scoping review. *International journal of occupational medicine and environmental health*, 36(1), 21.
- [2] Ahmed, S., & Shah, M. (2023). Addressing burnout among healthcare professionals: Strategies for prevention and intervention. *Journal Of Psychology, Health And Social Challenges*, 1(02), 200-214.
- [3] Al-Anzi, S. M., Alshammari, H. S. A., Alanazi, H. S. G., Alanazi, R. A., Al-Ruwaili, S. B. S., Alenezi, A. S. M., ... & Al Shammari, D. M. (2025). Nurse Burnout Syndrome: A Comprehensive Review of Prevalence, Contributing Factors, Consequences, and Evidence-Based Interventions in Contemporary Healthcare Systems. *Cultura: International Journal of Philosophy of Culture and Axiology*, 22(7s), 76-95.
- [4] Alhassan, M. A., Alarabi, M. A., Alhassan, S. A., Albalawi, W. M., & AlRabiah, E. S. (2025). Prevalence of burnout and its risk and protective factors among healthcare workers in the Middle East, North Africa, and Turkey: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1539105.
- [5] Amiri, S., Mahmood, N., Mustafa, H., Javaid, S. F., & Khan, M. A. (2024). Occupational risk factors for burnout syndrome among healthcare professionals: a global systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 21(12), 1583.
- [6] Ashraf, N., Tahir, M. J., Saeed, A., Ghosheh, M. J., Alsheikh, T., Ahmed, A., ... & Yousaf, Z. (2023). Incidence and factors associated with burnout in radiologists: a systematic review. *European Journal of Radiology Open*, 11, 100530.
- [7] Batanda, I. (2024). Prevalence of burnout among healthcare professionals: a survey at fort portal regional referral hospital. *Npj mental health research*, 3(1), 16.
- [8] Belisha, S., Zekja, I., Abazaj, E., & Dibra, V. (2025). Prevalence and associated risk factors for occupational burnout among healthcare personnel: a systematic review. *Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery*, 9(2), 1852-1862.
- [9] Bria, M., Baban, A., & Dumitrascu, D. L. (2012). Systematic review of burnout risk factors among European healthcare professionals. *Cognition, brain, behavior: An interdisciplinary journal*, 16(3), 423-452.
- [10] Bridgeman, P. J., Bridgeman, M. B., & Barone, J. (2018). Burnout syndrome among healthcare professionals. *The Bulletin of the American Society of Hospital Pharmacists*, 75(3), 147-152.
- [11] Catapano, P., Cipolla, S., Sampogna, G., Perris, F., Luciano, M., Catapano, F., & Fiorillo, A. (2023). Organizational and individual interventions for managing work-related stress in healthcare professionals: A systematic review. *Medicina*, 59(10), 1866.
- [12] Chirico, F., Afolabi, A. A., Ilesanmi, O. S., Nucera, G., Ferrari, G., Sacco, A., ... & Leiter, M. (2021). Prevalence, risk factors and prevention of burnout syndrome among healthcare workers: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Journal of Health and Social Sciences*, 6(4), 465-491.
- [13] Dijkhoorn, A. F. Q., Brom, L., van der Linden, Y. M., Leget, C., & Raijmakers, N. J. (2021). Prevalence of burnout in healthcare professionals providing palliative care and the effect of interventions to reduce symptoms: a systematic literature review. *Palliative medicine*, 35(1), 6-26.
- [14] Dubale, B. W., Friedman, L. E., Chemali, Z., Denninger, J. W., Mehta, D. H., Alem, A., ... & Gelaye, B. (2019). Systematic review of burnout among healthcare providers in sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC public health*, 19(1), 1247.
- [15] Dugani, S., Afari, H., Hirschhorn, L. R., Ratcliffe, H., Veillard, J., Martin, G., ... & Bitton, A. (2018). Prevalence and factors associated with burnout among frontline primary health care providers in low-and middle-income countries: A systematic review. *Gates open research*, 2, 4.
- [16] Dyrbye, L. N., Shanafelt, T. D., Sinsky, C. A., Cipriano, P. F., Bhatt, J., Ommaya, A., ... & Meyers, D. (2017). Burnout among health care professionals: a call to explore and address this underrecognized threat to safe, high-quality care. *NAM perspectives*.
- [17] Elbarazi, I., Loney, T., Yousef, S., & Elias, A. (2017). Prevalence of and factors associated with burnout among health care professionals in Arab countries: a systematic review. *BMC health services research*, 17(1), 491.

- [18] Getie, A., Ayenew, T., Amlak, B. T., Gedfew, M., Edmealem, A., & Kebede, W. M. (2025). Global prevalence and contributing factors of nurse burnout: an umbrella review of systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC nursing*, 24(1), 596.
- [19] Gómez-Gascón, T., Martín-Fernández, J., Gálvez-Herrer, M., Tapias-Merino, E., Beamud-Lagos, M., Mingote-Adán, J. C., & Grupo EDESPROAP-Madrid. (2013). Effectiveness of an intervention for prevention and treatment of burnout in primary health care professionals. *BMC family practice*, 14(1), 173.
- [20] Green, S., Markaki, A., Baird, J., Murray, P., & Edwards, R. (2020). Addressing healthcare professional burnout: a quality improvement intervention. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 17(3), 213-220.
- [21] Kesarwani, V., Husaain, Z. G., & George, J. (2020). Prevalence and factors associated with burnout among healthcare professionals in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indian journal of psychological medicine*, 42(2), 108-115.
- [22] Khan, H., Azmat, K., & Noor, A. (2024). UNDERSTANDING BURNOUT SYNDROME AMONG HEALTHCARE WORKERS: RISK FACTORS AND STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTION—A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. *Journal of Chemical Research and Innovation*, 1(1), 14-27.
- [23] Kumar, S. (2016, June). Burnout and doctors: prevalence, prevention and intervention. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 4, No. 3, p. 37). MDPI.
- [24] Morgantini, L. A., Naha, U., Wang, H., Francavilla, S., Acar, Ö., Flores, J. M., ... & Weine, S. M. (2020). Factors contributing to healthcare professional burnout during the COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid turnaround global survey. *PloS one*, 15(9), e0238217.
- [25] Nagle, E., Griskevica, I., Rajevska, O., Ivanovs, A., Mihailova, S., & Skruzkalne, I. (2024). Factors affecting healthcare workers burnout and their conceptual models: scoping review. *BMC psychology*, 12(1), 637.
- [26] Razai, M. S., Kooner, P., & Majeed, A. (2023). Strategies and interventions to improve healthcare professionals' well-being and reduce burnout. *Journal of primary care & community health*, 14, 21501319231178641.
- [27] Salvado, M., Marques, D. L., Pires, I. M., & Silva, N. M. (2021, October). Mindfulness-based interventions to reduce burnout in primary healthcare professionals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 9, No. 10, p. 1342). MDPI.
- [28] Sharif, S., Liaqat, F., Javed, I., Ashiq, N., Javed, Z., & Chattha, H. N. (2024). Burnout syndrome among healthcare workers: A systematic review of risk factors and prevention strategies. *Frontiers in Chemical Sciences*, 5(1), 36-49.
- [29] Sharifi, M., Asadi-Pooya, A. A., & Mousavi-Roknabadi, R. S. (2020). Burnout among healthcare providers of COVID-19: a systematic review of epidemiology and recommendations. *Archives of academic emergency medicine*, 9(1), e7.
- [30] Tanios, M., Haberman, D., Bouchard, J., Motherwell, M., & Patel, J. (2022). Analyses of burn-out among medical professionals and suggested solutions—a narrative review. *Journal of Hospital Management and Health Policy*, 6.
- [31] Taranu, S. M., Ilie, A. C., Turcu, A. M., Stefaniu, R., Sandu, I. A., Pislaru, A. I., ... & Alexa-Stratulat, T. (2022). Factors associated with burnout in healthcare professionals. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(22), 14701.
- [32] Van Mol, M. M., Kompanje, E. J., Benoit, D. D., Bakker, J., & Nijkamp, M. D. (2015). The prevalence of compassion fatigue and burnout among healthcare professionals in intensive care units: a systematic review. *PloS one*, 10(8), e0136955.
- [33] Wu, Y., Wu, M., Wang, C., Lin, J., Liu, J., & Liu, S. (2024). Evaluating the prevalence of burnout among health care professionals related to electronic health record use: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 12(1), e54811.