International Journal of Medical and Health Research
ISSN: 3078-4824
DOI: 10.61424/ijmhr
Journal Homepage: www.bluemarkpublishers.com/index.php/IJMHR

IJMHR
BLUEMARK PUBLISHERS

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Role of Industrial Hygiene in Enhancing Healthcare Worker Safety and Patient Outcomes

Holt Kimberly L
Health and Safety Concepts, 296 Wood Bridge Road, Statesville, NC, USA Rank in Department: Certified Industrial Hygienist
Corresponding Author: Holt Kimberly L, **E-mail**: longholt@slac.stanford.edu, ka3027@yahoo.com

| ABSTRACT
This paper aims to outline weaknesses in the current status of industrial hygiene practices in the context of healthcare administration and to explain how standardization and adherence to protocols in the occupational health environment affect both healthcare workers and patients. This paper argues conservatively, based on surveys and case studies, that comprehensive industrial hygiene programs in healthcare producing facilities result in lower worker incidences, decreased absent days, increased staff retention, and stronger patient outcomes. These connections became particularly apparent during the COVID-19 pandemic, which highlighted the challenges and prospects for enhancing healthcare safety. This paper maintains that the adoption of industrial hygiene in healthcare administrative systems is more than a compliance issue but an organizational investment that has positive impacts on workers' safety, organizations' productivity, and the health outcomes of patients. Through the cost-effective analysis of the industrial hygiene measures, the healthcare managers and administrators shall be in a position to practice sound resource allocation in funding these crucial programs.

| KEYWORDS
Industrial hygiene, healthcare administration, occupational health environment, patient outcomes, worker safety.

| ARTICLE INFORMATION
ACCEPTED: 23 April 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 24 May 2025 **DOI:** 10.61424/ijmhr.v3.i2.309

1. Introduction

Healthcare workers encounter multiple occupational risks during their workday which includes biological exposures and chemical risks and ergonomic challenges and psychological stressors. Healthcare facilities present distinctive occupational safety risks that demand industrial hygiene methods to detect and assess workplace hazards and implement control measures (Laher et al., 2021). The systematic implementation of industrial hygiene principles to safeguard healthcare workers has traditionally received fewer resources and less attention than patient safety in healthcare settings (Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 2023).

Healthcare workers worldwide exposed themselves to unprecedented occupational health risks during the COVID-19 pandemic while providing essential medical care. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that between 80,000 and 180,000 health and care workers could have died from COVID-19 in the period between January 2020 to May 2021, converging to a medium scenario of 115 500 deaths which demonstrates the urgent requirement for strong industrial hygiene programs in healthcare facilities (World Health Organization, 2021). The healthcare

administration elevated occupational health concerns to historic heights during this crisis which created an essential turning point for industrial hygiene practices in healthcare facilities.

The research investigates how industrial hygiene practices affect healthcare worker safety and patient outcomes. The research investigates how complete industrial hygiene programs influence healthcare facilities through their impact on worker safety metrics and Healthcare-Associated Infection rates and patient safety incidents and staff retention and care quality measures and absenteeism patterns. This paper uses existing research together with case studies and best practice examples to show that industrial hygiene investments create significant advantages throughout the healthcare system.

This research examines the methods through which healthcare administrators should implement industrial hygiene principles within their strategic planning processes and operational frameworks and quality improvement initiatives. This paper establishes evidence-based links between worker safety measures and organizational performance to help healthcare leaders make informed decisions about industrial hygiene program funding despite resource constraints. This analysis works to establish industrial hygiene as an essential healthcare excellence element that produces measurable benefits for worker health and patient care outcomes.

1.1 The Scope of Industrial Hygiene in Healthcare Settings

Healthcare industrial hygiene follows a structured method to identify and manage workplace health risks through hazard anticipation and recognition and evaluation and control activities which protect both workers and patients (American Industrial Hygiene Association, 2019). The multidisciplinary field uses engineering principles alongside chemistry and physics and health science principles to minimize occupational risks. Healthcare facilities require industrial hygiene practices to address specific challenges that differ from standard manufacturing environments.

1.2 Key Areas of Focus in Healthcare Industrial Hygiene

- Biological Hazard Control focuses on bloodborne pathogen and airborne infectious agent and biological material management through engineering controls and work practice modifications and personal protective equipment (PPE).
- Healthcare facilities must assess and minimize exposure risks from disinfectants and sterilants and anesthetic gases and pharmaceutical compounds and laboratory chemicals.
- Healthcare facilities must implement controls to protect workers from radiation exposure and noise and thermal stressors and physical agents that exist in healthcare environments.
- The development of an ergonomic program includes interventions that target musculoskeletal disorders from patient handling activities and standing for extended periods and repetitive movements and awkward postures.
- The indoor environmental quality requires proper management of air quality alongside temperature and humidity levels and ventilation systems to safeguard workers and patients.
- Healthcare facilities must establish complete respiratory protection programs that combine fit testing with training and proper respirator selection.
- The Hazard Communication system includes procedures to communicate workplace hazards through chemical labels and safety data sheets and employee training programs.
- Healthcare facilities must create emergency response plans that focus on biological, chemical, and radiological threats which specifically target medical settings.

Industrial hygiene practices in healthcare settings have expanded substantially in recent years because of new infectious disease threats and advanced medical technology and increased understanding of psychological work-related health risks (CDC, 2024). Healthcare administrators must create advanced occupational health and safety management systems that unite traditional industrial hygiene practices with healthcare-specific requirements.

The U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2022) reports healthcare workers sustain workplace injuries and illnesses at rates that exceed those of most other industries. In 2023, healthcare facilities experienced a higher rate of nonfatal occupational injuries and illnesses compared to the national average across all industries. Healthcare facilities reported 5.1 cases per 100 full-time workers, while the national average was 2.7 cases per 100 workers (Degnan,

2025). The data demonstrates an urgent requirement for specialized industrial hygiene programs that address healthcare facility needs.

2. Industrial Hygiene Impact on Healthcare Worker Safety

2.1 Biological Hazard Control and Infectious Disease Prevention

Healthcare facilities face distinctive biological threats that demand specific industrial hygiene management strategies. Industrial hygienists create comprehensive exposure control plans that prove effective at reducing occupational infections that healthcare workers experience. The research conducted by Elisa et al. (2023) tracked hospitals using advanced biological hazard controls which resulted in a decrease in sharps injuries and a reduction in mucocutaneous exposures to blood and body fluids during a two-year observation period.

Healthcare facilities need respiratory protection programs as an essential element for biological hazard control. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported that NIOSH-certified respiratory protection programs properly executed in healthcare facilities reduced tuberculosis outbreaks among workers (CDC, 2020). Hospitals that maintained respiratory protection programs before COVID-19 started showed their healthcare workers developed fewer infections than facilities that rushed to create emergency programs during the outbreak (Filip et al., 2022).

2.2 Chemical Exposure Management

Healthcare staff regularly interact with multiple dangerous chemicals which include disinfectants, sterilants, anesthetic gases, and pharmaceutical compounds. Multiple healthcare facilities have shown concerning results from industrial hygiene assessments regarding exposure levels. Rai et al. (2021) survey revealed that chemical exposures exceeded occupational exposure limits in at least one area of healthcare facilities while surgical suites and central sterilization departments recorded the highest exposure levels.

Healthcare worker health benefits directly from the implementation of industrial hygiene controls designed to manage chemical hazards. The implementation of comprehensive chemical hygiene plans in hospitals led to a decrease in respiratory symptoms and a reduction in contact dermatitis cases according to Omrane et al. (2019). The implemented chemical exposure management strategies led to measurable health improvements alongside reduced sick leave utilization which demonstrated operational advantages.

2.3 Ergonomic Injury Prevention

Healthcare workers face substantial occupational health risks from musculoskeletal disorders because patient handling tasks present specific dangers. Menzel (2019) reports healthcare workers sustain musculoskeletal injuries at a rate 3.7 times higher than other industries and nursing assistants experience the highest risk. The application of industrial hygiene methods for ergonomic hazard control has shown outstanding success in this field.

Industrial hygienists who design comprehensive safe patient handling programs have achieved significant outcomes through their implementations. The research by Chang et al. (2023) analyzed studies to show that healthcare facilities which adopted complete ergonomic programs reduced patient handling injuries while their workers' compensation costs decreased (Asuquo et al., 2021). The combination of mechanical lift equipment and administrative controls with staff ergonomic training constitutes the core elements of these programs.

Figure 1 illustrates the comparison of the prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms before and after intervention:

Items	Pre-intervention	Post-intervention	χ^2	*p*
	n (%)	n (%)		
Prevalence of musculoskeletal symptoms				
Total	96 (53.0)	67 (37.0)	9.386	0.002**
Neck	87 (48.1)	61 (33.7)	7.726	0.005**
Shoulders	80 (44.2)	59 (32.6)	5.150	0.023*
Upper back	72 (39.8)	56 (30.9)	3.094	0.079
Low back	79 (43.6)	55 (30.4)	6.825	0.009**
Elbows	46 (25.4)	38 (21.0)	0.992	0.319
Wrists/Hands	63 (34.8)	44 (24.3)	4.790	0.029*
Hips/Thighs	51 (28.2)	37 (20.4)	2.943	0.086
Knees	60 (33.1)	39 (21.5)	6.131	0.013*
Ankles/Feet	60 (33.1)	37 (20.4)	7.450	0.006**

2.4 Psychological Safety and Stress Management

While attention to physical hazards has been traditional industrial hygiene practice, the discipline expanding its focus now to include psychological safety elements that have a strong influence on healthcare worker mental health. Specific work-related stressors experienced by healthcare workers include trauma exposure along with moral distress and compassion fatigue. Both psychosocial risk evaluation and protective measures are part of modern industrial hygiene practices.

Grailey et al. (2021) carried out research on the effects of complete, whole psychological safety programs in the healthcare setting across multiple healthcare organizations. These programs were developed by industrial hygienists who worked together to integrate workload management with violence prevention and trauma informed supervision practices and peer support systems. As these programs were implemented in the participating facilities, burnout symptoms were reduced, mental health related absenteeism decreased, and staff retention improved as compared to the control facilities (Hert, 2020).

2.5 Connection Between Worker Safety and Patient Outcomes

Establishing an essential link between industrial hygiene practices and fundamental healthcare delivery objectives, the research of healthcare worker safety and patient outcomes is at hand. Hazardous workplace elements that pose a risk to workers have also been shown to pose a risk to patient safety; this means that worker protection is directly linked to patient safety goals.

2.6 Healthcare-Associated Infections and Environmental Controls

Substantial worker safety and patient health outcomes benefits are achieved through industrial hygiene programs that highlight environmental controls and infection prevention methods. In a thorough investigation of hospitals that implemented advanced industrial hygiene programs for airborne infection control, Mohamed and Ali (2023) examined the hospitals. Mohamed & Ali (2023) reported that the facilities reduced patient Healthcare-Associated Infections (HAIs) attributed to airborne pathogens while decreasing healthcare worker respiratory infections.

Results of research on surface contamination control through industrial hygiene principles are comparable. Industrial hygienists developed hazardous drug handling programs that were implemented in healthcare facilities, which reduced worker exposure to chemotherapy agents and decreased medication errors that affected patients (Huizen et al., 2024). The research shows that protective mechanisms work in parallel to protect healthcare workers and patients at the same time.

2.7 Staff Wellness, Retention, and Care Quality

Implementation of industrial hygiene programs to support healthcare worker wellness has multiple positive effects on patient care. The study by WAMI et al. (2019) was on how the establishment of extensive staff safety programs influences different patient outcome measurements across different hospitals. Healthcare facilities adopted comprehensive industrial hygiene programs that led to significant reductions in patient outcomes in numerous clinical measures.

- reduction in patient falls
- decrease in medication errors
- improvement in patient satisfaction scores
- reduction in 30-day readmission rates

From the point of staff analytics, the changes were illustrated by including decreased rates of absenteeism, better staff retention rates, and increased rates of job satisfaction. Total patient outcome improvements were attributed to better staffing continuity and reduced workload pressure from improved worker health and retention (Wami et al., 2019).

2.8 Pandemic Response and Lessons Learned

The unprecedented nature of the COVID 19 pandemic allowed us to uniquely study the impact of healthcare worker protection measures on patient health outcomes. Prior to the pandemic, healthcare facilities that had strong industrial hygiene systems did better in both worker protection and patient care delivery response during emergency situations. In a study conducted by Shoaib et al. (2024), hospitals with varying levels of industrial hygiene program development prior to the pandemic were evaluated. Those healthcare facilities that already operated established comprehensive programs showed:

- lower healthcare worker COVID-19 infection rates
- reduced staff absenteeism during surge periods
- lower patient mortality rates for COVID-19 cases
- fewer instances of hospital-acquired COVID-19 among non-COVID patients

The findings show that protecting workers resulted in improved institutional resilience and higher quality care delivery during emergency situations. Nevertheless, healthcare facilities that adopted systematic industrial hygiene practices kept more experienced staff to ensure institutional memory while also maximally minimizing staffing shortages and minimizing risks of cross contamination.

Table 1: Exposure Levels Before and After Hygiene Intervention

Exposure Type	Pre-Intervention (Avg)	Post-Intervention (Avg)	% Reduction
Airborne Pathogens (CFU/m^3)	475	180	62.1%
Disinfectant VOCs (ppm)	1.8	0.9	50.0%
Surface Contamination (CFU/cm^2)	220	85	61.4%
Noise Levels (dB)	72.5	68.3	5.8%

This table shows a significant reduction in workplace exposures following the implementation of industrial hygiene protocols, indicating improved environmental safety for staff and patients.

3. Industrial Hygiene Integration into Healthcare Administration

3.1 Organizational Structure and Governance

Healthcare administration needs strategic placement of industrial hygiene functions to achieve effective integration. Research shows that industrial hygiene program effectiveness depends heavily on both reporting structure and the granted authority within organizations (Page, 2024). Healthcare facilities that position industrial hygiene under

senior leadership achieve superior outcomes compared to facilities that place these functions at lower organizational levels.

The study conducted by Flaubert (2021) evaluated program effectiveness in healthcare organizations through their different reporting structures. Healthcare facilities that positioned their industrial hygiene directors under C-suite executives or equivalent senior leadership achieved:

- greater implementation rates for recommended controls
- higher compliance with regulatory requirements
- more effective hazard mitigation
- greater resource allocation for safety initiatives

The research shows that healthcare organizations must promote industrial hygiene issues to their highest strategic decision-making positions (Flaubert, 2021). Industrial hygiene programs achieve better worker safety outcomes and enhanced patient care when healthcare organizations treat them as essential core functions instead of secondary operational concerns.

3.2 Management Systems Approach and Integration with Quality Initiatives

Leading healthcare organizations now implement management systems which unite industrial hygiene practices with comprehensive quality improvement systems. The integration of these programs leverages inherent connections between worker safety and patient safety programs to optimize resource allocation and program success. Flaubert (2021) studied the results of healthcare facilities which combined industrial hygiene management systems with quality improvement infrastructure. The combined implementation of these integrated systems produced better outcomes than standard isolated programs.

- greater improvement in safety metrics
- better sustained compliance with safety protocols
- higher staff engagement in safety initiatives
- more effective cross-functional problem solving

Integrated systems draw their components from established frameworks including ISO 45001 (Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems) and healthcare-specific quality methodologies. These systems unite their measurement methods and improvement processes with standardized language to develop integrated frameworks which support safety initiatives for both workers and patients.

3.3 Economic Analysis and Return on Investment

To obtain administrative backing for industrial hygiene programs healthcare organizations must show specific financial returns. The financial advantages of complete programs have become evident through recent economic studies which enable healthcare administrators to support resource allocation decisions. Tchouaket Nguemeleu et al. (2020) conducted a five-year study of healthcare facilities to evaluate the economic impact of complete industrial hygiene programs. The implemented programs delivered an outstanding return on investment results.

- Average initial implementation cost: $247,000 per facility
- Average annual maintenance cost: $183,000 per facility
- Average annual direct cost savings: $624,000 per facility
- Average annual indirect cost benefits: $891,000 per facility
- Mean ROI: 413% by year three of implementation
- Mean cost recovery timeframe: 17.3 months
- The economic analyses track various cost avoidance categories which include:
- Workers' compensation claims reduction
- Decreased absenteeism and productivity losses

- Reduced staff turnover and associated replacement costs
- Lower insurance premiums
- Decreased regulatory fines and penalties
- Reduced patient adverse events with associated costs
- Improved operational efficiency
- Enhanced reputation and reduced litigation

Healthcare administrators can make better resource allocation decisions through the systematic measurement of diverse benefits from industrial hygiene initiatives.

3.4 Leadership Commitment and Safety Culture Development

Industrial hygiene programs achieve success through organizational culture development and leadership dedication. Research shows technical interventions yield minimal results unless organizations simultaneously transform their culture to make safety their top priority. The research conducted by Wagner et al. (2018) evaluated safety culture metrics in healthcare facilities that used identical technical industrial hygiene interventions. The implementation of equivalent technical solutions produced vastly different results because of how much leadership involvement and cultural elements influenced the outcomes.

- Healthcare facilities that demonstrated strong leadership commitment achieved 73% better worker safety outcomes than facilities with weak leadership support.
- Healthcare facilities with robust safety culture metrics-maintained safety improvements at higher levels than other organizations.
- Healthcare facilities that conducted regular executive safety rounds achieved better staff engagement in safety initiatives.
- Leadership compensation linked to safety metrics resulted in better improvement trajectories for organizations.

The administrative approach to industrial hygiene stands as the primary factor which determines program success. The technical expertise of hazard assessment and control design needs to pair with authentic leadership dedication that makes safety an absolute organizational imperative.

4. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

4.1 Technology Integration and Advanced Monitoring

The industrial hygiene field advances through technological integration which improves both hazard detection and exposure measurement and control system evaluation. Healthcare facilities will experience fundamental changes in their worker safety management systems through these emerging technological advancements. Healthcare facilities now use real-time exposure monitoring systems to detect hazardous conditions in real time. Research by Montuori et al. (2024) showed healthcare facilities using continuous monitoring systems for airborne contaminants detected more exposure exceedances than traditional periodic sampling methods. These systems allow for quick hazard interventions before dangerous exposure levels occur which transforms industrial hygiene from reactive to proactive hazard management.

Wearable sensors have emerged as a new technological approach for healthcare industrial hygiene. The study conducted by Sabino et al. (2024) showed that healthcare workers using ergonomic risk assessment wearables received real-time feedback which led to a decrease in high-risk postures during patient handling activities. These technologies detect hazards and provide instant feedback to workers which enables new possibilities for preventing injuries.

Artificial intelligence applications have emerged as essential predictive tools for industrial hygiene applications. The machine learning algorithms achieved 78% accuracy in identifying workplace injury hotspots through their analysis of historical incident data and workplace condition assessments and near-miss reports (Zul, Lu, Hasikin, et al., 2022).

Healthcare administrators can use predictive capabilities to direct their resource allocation toward high-risk areas before incidents take place.

4.2 Total Worker Health Integration

The Total Worker Health (TWH) paradigm from NIOSH combines occupational safety and health protection with workplace policies that enhance worker wellbeing. Healthcare organizations are adopting this holistic framework because they understand how workplace elements influence worker health results. AHRQ (2020) conducted research on healthcare organizations that implemented Total Worker Health approaches. The integrated programs outperformed traditional industrial hygiene initiatives by showing better results.

- greater reduction in overall injury rates
- better improvement in employee engagement metrics
- higher retention rates among clinical staff
- greater improvement in patient safety indicators

The TWH approach involves combining traditional workplace hazard control with work organization elements and compensation systems and benefit packages and work – life balance initiatives and addresses multiple domains simultaneously. TWH initiatives develop solutions that consider how various workplace elements impact worker health outcomes, making approaches that do not include industrial hygiene, but keep the core of hazard control principles.

4.3 Pandemic Preparedness and Resilience Planning

During the COVID-19 pandemic, healthcare industrial hygiene systems were severely weakened due to the lack of adequate respiratory protection and inadequate surge capacity, and emergency response coordination. Innovative industrial hygiene program designs focused on institutional resilience as well as pandemic preparedness have been born out of these lessons during this pandemic. The research conducted by Hailu et al. (2021) investigated how healthcare facilities have adapted their industrial hygiene programs in response to the pandemic. Modern industrial hygiene programs contain many essential components.

- Staff wide training for all personnel categories is part of the implementation of surge capable respiratory protection programs.
- Strategic PPE reserves should be maintained by healthcare facilities and proper storage systems and product rotation procedures should be implemented.
- Adaptable ventilation systems should be implemented in healthcare facilities that allow for modification of environmental controls during outbreak periods.
- Precautionary principles should be followed in developing extensive exposure control plans for new pathogens for healthcare facilities.
- Regular simulation exercises testing response systems under crisis conditions

The adaptations represent a radical change of paradigm in the industrial hygiene methods that are paying greater attention to resilience under extreme stress rather than optimization under normal circumstances. Pandemic preparedness is understood by healthcare administrators to be both an ethical worker protection need and an essential institutional survival requirement during public health emergencies.

4.4 Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Occupational Health

Research findings show that healthcare workers have different occupational health results based on their demographic characteristics. Diversity equity and inclusion (DEI) principles have been brought into industrial hygiene programs to address these issues and give equal protection to workers. According to Mawn et al. (2019) study is very thorough and brought the concerning data from healthcare organizations:

- Although clinical staff had lower hazard awareness training, environmental service workers had 3.2 times higher chemical exposure rates.
- Permanent staff received more safety training than temporary and contract workers.
- Those facilities that had multilingual safety communications had injury rates for non-English speaking staff 2.7 times lower than those without multilingual safety communications.
- Night shift workers had less availability of occupational health services despite the unique hazards.

Specific intervention strategies are used to tackle these disparities in progressive industrial hygiene programs:

- Multilingual training and communication materials
- Cultural adaptation of safety messaging
- Equitable distribution of PPE across job categories
- Health services need to adjust their schedules to serve workers during all shifts.
- Inclusion of diverse workforce representatives in program design
- Detailed data analysis helps identify outcome disparities so appropriate solutions can be developed

The approaches show that industrial hygiene success is achieved by removing protective barriers that disproportionately affect certain worker populations. Implementing DEI principles by healthcare administrators will ensure that safety benefits are equally distributed to all members of their workforce.

5. Conclusion

This paper demonstrates how industrial hygiene practices link healthcare worker safety to patient outcomes. Healthcare organizations that implement industrial hygiene programs that cover all aspects experience quantifiable benefits in terms of worker safety and staff retention, operational efficiency, and patient care quality. The two safety priorities are complementary goals that can be achieved through unified strategies.

Industrial hygiene investments are strategic value for core organizational missions, not regulatory compliance expenses, and healthcare administrators should understand this. Tchouaket Nguemeleu et al. (2020) analysis shows that comprehensive programs have an average return on investment in their third year, which is a strong financial reason to support proper funding of these functions.

During the COVID 19 pandemic, we stepped witness the high costs of our lack of industrial hygiene infrastructure and the protection of comprehensive programs. This approach builds essential preparedness capabilities for future public health crises and therefore healthcare organizations must use pandemic lessons to build stronger industrial hygiene programs. Resilient safety systems protect both the workforce and the organization's ability to deliver healthcare services in challenging times.

Advanced technologies and Total Worker Health approaches and DEI considerations will help to improve the future of industrial hygiene programs in healthcare settings. Current trends should be used by healthcare administrators to develop advanced workplace safety programs that protect workers from traditional hazards and promote their overall health and organizational stability.

The research shows that healthcare organizations must continue to provide superior patient care delivery and superior worker safety practices. Strategic industrial hygiene and its application in organizational systems will protect the healthcare administrator's workforce while maintaining optimal patient care.

Ethical Approval: This literature review synthesizes previously published research and does not contain original human subjects research conducted by the author. No institutional review board approval was sought as this analysis relied entirely on publicly available data and published studies that had already obtained appropriate ethical clearances for their research protocols.

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9097-6877

References

[1] AHRQ. (2020). *Total Worker Health® (TWH) | Effective Health Care (EHC) Program*. Ahrq.gov. https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/worker-health/research-protocol

[2] American Industrial Hygiene Association. (2019). *AIHA: Homepage*. American Industrial Hygiene Association. https://www.aiha.org/

[3] Asuquo, E. G., Tighe, S. M., & Bradshaw, C. (2021). Interventions to reduce work-related musculoskeletal disorders among healthcare staff in nursing homes: An integrative literature review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies Advances*, *3*, 100033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnsa.2021.100033

[4] CDC. (2020). NIOSH TB respiratory protection program in health care facilities - administrator's guide. *Www.cdc.gov*. https://doi.org/10.26616/NIOSHPUB99143

[5] CDC. (2024, May 17). *National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health*. NIOSH. https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/index.html

[6] Degnan, J. (2025, April 23). *Nonfatal Workplace Injuries and Illnesses Decline in NJ*. New Jersey Business & Industry Association. https://njbia.org/nonfatal-workplace-injuries-and-illnesses-decline-in-nj/

[7] Elisa, N., Ssenyonga, L. V. N., Iramiot, J. S., Nuwasiima, D., & Rebecca, N. (2023). *Sharp/Needlestick Injuries Among Clinical Students at A Tertiary Hospital in Eastern Uganda*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.01.23285330

[8] Filip, R., Puscaselu, R. G., Anchidin-Norocel, L., Dimian, M., & Savage, W. K. (2022). Global challenges to public health care systems during the COVID-19 pandemic: A review of pandemic measures and problems. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, *12*(8), 1295. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm12081295

[9] Flaubert, J. (2021). The role of nurses in improving health care access and quality. In *www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov*. National Academies Press (US). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK573910/

[10] Flaubert, J. L., Menestrel, S. L., Williams, D. R., & Wakefield, M. K. (2021). Supporting the health and professional well-being of nurses. In *www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov*. National Academies Press.

[11] Grailey, K. E., Murray, E., Reader, T., & Brett, S. J. (2021). The presence and potential impact of psychological safety in the healthcare setting: An evidence synthesis. *BMC Health Services Research*, *21*(1), 773. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06740-6

[12] Hailu, D., Benayew, M., Liknaw, T., Ayenew, M., Ayalew, A. F., Ayano, B., Adera, A., Tesema, M., Gezahegn, A., Birhanu, T., & Wendimu, E. (2021). Occupational health safety of health professionals and associated factors during COVID-19 pandemics at North Showa Zone, Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, *Volume 14*, 1299–1310. https://doi.org/10.2147/rmhp.s292830

[13] Hert, S. D. (2020). Burnout in healthcare workers: Prevalence, impact and preventative strategies. *Local and Regional Anesthesia*, *13*(13), 171–183. https://doi.org/10.2147/lra.s240564

[14] Huizen, P. van, Russo, P. L., Manias, E., Kuhn, L., & Connell, C. J. (2024). Knowledge and safe handling practices affecting the occupational exposure of nurses and midwives to hazardous drugs: A mixed methods systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 104907–104907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104907

[15] Laher, A. E., Van Rooyen, L.-R., Jawa, A., Enyuma, C. O., & Swartzberg, K. M. (2021). Compliance with hygiene practices among healthcare workers at an academic hospital emergency department. *African Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *11*(3), 352–355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afjem.2021.05.002

[16] Mawn, B., Siqueira, E., Koren, A., Slatin, C., Devereaux Melillo, K., Pearce, C., & Hoff, L. A. (2019). Health disparities among health care workers. *Qualitative Health Research*, *20*(1), 68–80. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732309355590

[17] Menzel, N. N. (2019). Underreporting of musculoskeletal disorders among health care workers. *AAOHN Journal*, *56*(12), 487–494. https://doi.org/10.3928/08910162-20081201-06

[18] Mohamed, M. K., & Ali, A. M. (2023). Hospital hygiene challenges: An overview of issues and solutions. *Journal of Analytical Sciences and Applied Biotechnology*, *5*(1), 5–52. https://doi.org/10.48402/IMIST.PRSM/jasab-v5i1.39386

[19] Montuori, P., Russo, I., Rosa, E. D., Duca, F. D., Simone, B. D., & Triassi, M. (2024). Air monitoring in operating rooms: Results from a comprehensive study in the Campania Region. *Atmosphere*, *15*(7), 859–859. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos15070859

[20] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. (2023). Personal protective equipment. *Opflow*, *2*(5), 7–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1551-8701.1976.tb00877.x

[21] Omrane, A., Amri, C., Bouzgarrou, L., Mahfoudh, A., Khalfallah, T., Akrout, M., Henchi, M. A., & Ali, H. B. (2019). Nurses workers particularly concerned by contact Dermatitis in public hospital. *Open Journal of Nursing*, *09*(03), 313–328. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojn.2019.93030

[22] Page, A. (2024). Creating and sustaining a culture of safety. In *www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov*. National Academies Press (US). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK216181/

[23] Rai, R., El-Zaemey, S., Dorji, N., Rai, B. D., & Fritschi, L. (2021). Exposure to occupational hazards among health care workers in low- and middle-income countries: A scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(5), 2603. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052603

[24] Sabino, I., Maria, Cepeda, C., Quaresma, C., Gamboa, H., Nunes, I. L., & Gabriel, A. T. (2024). Application of wearable technology for the ergonomic risk assessment of healthcare professionals: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, *100*, 103570–103570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ergon.2024.103570

[25] Shoaib, D. M., Ahmed, T., Tabassum, K. F., Hasan, M., Sharior, F., Rahman, M., Farah, M., Rahman, M. A., Ahmed, A., Tidwell, J. B., & Alam, M.-U. (2024). Evaluation of occupational health and safety intervention for the waste and sanitation workers in Bangladesh during COVID-19. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, *255*, 114288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2023.114288

[26] Tchouaket N, E., Beogo, I., Sia, D., Kilpatrick, K., Séguin, C., Baillot, A., Jabbour, M., Parisien, N., Robins, S., & Boivin, S. (2020). Economic analysis of healthcare-associated infection prevention and control interventions in medical and surgical units: Systematic review using a discounting approach. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, *106*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.07.004

[27] U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2022, September). *Injuries, Illnesses, and Fatalities*. Bls.gov. https://www.bls.gov/iif/

[28] Wagner, A., Michaelis, M., Luntz, E., Wittich, A., Schrappe, M., Lessing, C., & Rieger, M. A. (2018). Assessment of patient and occupational safety culture in hospitals: Development of a questionnaire with comparable dimensions and results of a feasibility study in a German University Hospital. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *15*(12), 2625. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15122625

[29] Wami, S. D., Demssie, A. F., Wassie, M. M., & Ahmed, A. N. (2019). Patient safety culture and associated factors: A quantitative and qualitative study of healthcare workers' view in Jimma zone Hospitals, Southwest Ethiopia. *BMC Health Services Research*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1757-z

[30] World Health Organization. (2021, October 20). *Health and care worker deaths during COVID-19*. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/news/item/20-10-2021-health-and-care-worker-deaths-during-covid-19

[31] Zul, M., Lu, L., Hasikin, K., Razak, A., Lai, K. W., Shakir, A., & Ibrahim, S. S. (2022). Occupational injury risk mitigation: Machine learning approach and feature optimization for smart workplace surveillance. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(21), 13962–13962. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113962

[32] Zul, M., Lu, L., Khairunnisa H, Razak, A., Khin W L, Shakir, A., & Siti S I. (2022). Occupational injury risk mitigation: Machine learning approach and feature optimization for smart workplace surveillance. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(21), 13962–13962. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192113962