
The Psychological Impact of Online Education on Student Well-being and Motivation

Ass. Prof. Dr. Fleura SHKËMBI¹, Ass. Prof. Dr. Valbona HABILISAUKU², Dr. Silva IBRAHIMI PhD³ and Dott. Ervin IBRAHIMI MD⁴

¹Department of Psychological Studies, Mediterranean University of Albania

²Senior Lecturer, Department of Psychology and Pedagogy, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Tirana, Albania

³Research Director EYRA Psychosocial Assistance, Boston USA, Lecturer "University of Tirana"

⁴Medical Responsabile, Azzuolo, Cooss- Marche, Italy

Corresponding Author: Ass. Prof. Dr. Fleura SHKËMBI E-mail: fleurashkemi@gmx.com

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Received: October 04th, 2024

Accepted: November 05th 2024

Published: November, 07th
2024

Volume: 2

Issue: 2

DOI: 10.61424/issej.v2i2.122

KEYWORDS

Well-being, online education,
motivation, educators, self-
discipline

In recent years, online education has become increasingly prevalent, offering convenience and accessibility to learners. However, this transformation has also raised concerns about its impact on student well-being and motivation. This article delves into the intricate interplay between online education, student well-being, and motivation, particularly in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, which accelerated the adoption of online learning. The study employed a comprehensive literature review approach to explore the psychological dimensions of online education. Student well-being and motivation are vital components of the learning process, influencing academic performance and overall satisfaction. Well-being encompasses various dimensions, including emotional and mental health, while motivation is driven by intrinsic or extrinsic factors. The study found that students' transition to online learning resulted in challenges related to stress, self-discipline, and social isolation. Intrinsic motivation, rooted in a genuine interest in the subject matter, was identified as a key driver of engagement in online education, whereas extrinsic motivation was found to be less sustainable. The findings emphasize the importance of tailored approaches and supportive strategies for students and the need for a supportive online learning environment. Educators should design online courses that cater to diverse learning styles and foster intrinsic motivation, while policymakers should invest in technology infrastructure and mental health support services. Understanding the nuances of online education's impact on student well-being and motivation is essential for improving the overall virtual learning experience. In summary, this study provides valuable insights into the multifaceted relationship between online education, student well-being, and motivation, offering guidance for students, educators, and policymakers in enhancing the quality of online learning. Further research is needed to explore the long-term effects of online education and address the specific challenges faced by different types of learners.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the educational sphere has undergone a profound transformation characterized by the growing prevalence of online education. The allure of convenience and accessibility has established online learning as a viable

alternative to traditional in-person instruction. Nevertheless, as we venture deeper into this digital frontier, it becomes imperative to gain a comprehensive grasp not only of its advantages but also its potential drawbacks. A pivotal aspect necessitating rigorous scrutiny is the psychological impact of online education, with a particular emphasis on its effects on student well-being and motivation (Allen & Seaman, 2015). Within the realm of education, student well-being and motivation stand as pivotal determinants of the learning process. These factors exert influence not only on academic performance but also significantly mold the overall student experience. The transition to the domain of online learning has presented distinctive challenges to both these fundamental aspects. Hence, it becomes imperious to undertake a profound exploration of these challenges to facilitate a more holistic comprehension of the online educational landscape (Cataudella et al., 2021). This paper is dedicated to the intricate examination of the intricate interplay between student well-being, motivation, and the virtual learning environments in which they operate. It arises within the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, which necessitated an abrupt and widespread adoption of online education. This abrupt transition underscores the exigency of investigating the psychological ramifications it engenders. The foremost objective of this research endeavor is to elucidate how virtual learning exerts its influence on student well-being and motivation. This investigation seeks to illuminate the variables that impact these psychological dimensions and to scrutinize the intricate dynamics that connect them. In pursuing this endeavor, we aspire to furnish invaluable insights that resonate with a diverse array of stakeholders. These stakeholders encompass students who are striving to excel within the virtual classroom, educators who are endeavoring to refine their strategies for online instruction, and policymakers tasked with devising effective directives and policies for the future of education. By embarking on this journey into the intricate psychological dimensions of online education, we aim to make a substantive contribution to the cultivation of a more nuanced and well-informed comprehension of this ever-evolving educational landscape. This enhanced understanding, in our view, serves as the bedrock for the enhancement of student well-being and motivation as they navigate the virtual realm of learning.

2. Research Method

A comprehensive literature search was conducted following the Prisma Guidelines (Moher et al., 2015) utilizing electronic databases such as Scopus, Eric, and Google Scholar. To ensure the inclusiveness of the review, additional sources of literature were considered, including reference lists from the full-text articles under examination. The search employed specific keywords, including "student well-being," "e-learning platforms," "well-being," "psychological factors," "emotional distress," and "virtual learning environments." A rigorous and systematic procedure was applied to select relevant literature, and the results were subsequently organized and analyzed. The inclusion criteria encompassed papers that specifically addressed the use of e-learning in the context of student well-being. Additionally, the selected literature focused on the relationship between e-learning platforms and associated psychological factors, which included self-esteem, emotional distress, and self-regulation. Furthermore, the selected literature had to be written in English and published up to the year 2022. In alignment with the research objectives and the nature of the considered literature, a mini-review approach was chosen. Consequently, the presentation of data will take the form of a narrative review to effectively synthesize and discuss the findings.

3. Findings

In the realm of education, two vital elements stand as cornerstones in the journey of learning: student well-being and motivation. Beyond the confines of academic achievement, these facets encompass the emotional, psychological, and social dimensions that intricately shape the educational experience (Murray-Harvey, 2010). This section delves into the fundamental significance of nurturing student well-being and motivation in the learning process. Online education, while offering unprecedented access and convenience, ushers in an era where the psychological dimensions of learning demand special consideration. As education transitions from traditional classrooms to digital platforms, it becomes imperative to grasp how these changes impact student well-being and motivation (Elumalai et al., 2021). By fostering a deeper understanding of these critical elements and recognizing the unique challenges and opportunities of virtual learning environments, we pave the way for a comprehensive exploration of their interplay with online education. Understanding these nuances is essential in adapting and enhancing the virtual learning experience for students, making it a critical aspect of modern education worth delving into.

3.1. The Importance of Student Well-Being and Motivation in the Learning Process

Research into the impact of intrinsic versus extrinsic life aspirations on well-being typically centers on relatively stable life goals (Dittmar et al., 2014). Intrinsic life goals reflect an individual's inclination to pursue self-oriented motivators, such as personal growth, while extrinsic life goals, often associated with materialism, are linked to external motivators, like culturally defined rewards, such as money. These life goals have a substantial influence on

subjective well-being in various contexts. For instance, empirical studies have demonstrated that embracing extrinsic life goals is linked to reduced well-being (Dittmar et al., 2014; Kasser et al., 2014). One possible explanation for this is that a focus on extrinsic motivators hinders individuals from satisfying their basic psychological needs (Ryan, Huta & Deci, 2008). Neglecting these needs may result in dissatisfaction and difficulties in dealing with challenging situations. In contrast, intrinsic life goals align with inner needs, potentially explaining why adopting them enhances positive emotions as well as life satisfaction (Niemi, Ryan & Deci, 2009).

While enrollment motivation is more tightly defined than life objectives, a single university student's life is influenced by their decisions. Nevertheless, the mechanisms connecting life goals, both internal and extrinsic overall well-being, could be similar to those linking internal and external motivations for enrolling in students' well-being over time. According to research, domain-specific intrinsic motivation positively affects well-being in a variety of scenarios, such as school, work, relationships, and leisure operations (Milyavskaya & Koestner, 2011), as individuals tend to derive enjoyment from activities they participate voluntarily out of personal interest (Waterman, Schwartz & Conti, 2008). In terms of higher education, this suggests that an intrinsic desire for enrollment may lead to long-term pleasure with one's education since pupils may take pleasure in engaging with course content that aligns with their interests (Porter & Umbach, 2006). Additionally, extrinsic motivation in the workplace research indicates that intrinsic occupational drive is linked to heightened anxiety, maybe because of feelings of external pressure and lack of control (Kuvaas et al., 2017).

While the literature on well-being is expanding, its definitions remain broad and somewhat ambiguous (Forgeard et al., 2011). Rather than providing a single definition for well-being, studies tend to focus on its various dimensions. It is often associated with quality of life and happiness and is even referenced by the World Health Organization in its health definitions. Approaches to understanding well-being are categorized into hedonic, eudaemonic, wellness, and quality of life perspectives (Cooke et al., 2016). In a comprehensive review, Dodge et al. (2012) defined happiness as the equilibrium between an individual's resource pool and the challenges they encounter, encompassing aspects of psychological, social, and physical. The importance of well-being in education is well-established, as it is linked to positive life experiences and impacts various domains of students' lives (Frydenberg & Lewis, 1999; Soykan et al., 2019). Since the advent of positive psychology, research on students' well-being has surged in recent decades (Cooke et al., 2016). International student evaluation programs like PISA have also begun to collect data on students' well-being worldwide. The term "well-being" is defined in this context as a dynamic condition associated with students' personal and societal objectives, encompassing Dimensions of cognition, psychology, physicality, sociality, and materiality (Borgonovi & Pál, 2015). Existing literature suggests a significant connection between happiness and academic accomplishment (Gräbel, 2017; Seymour, 1999; Suldo et al., 2011). However, because of the multidimensional character of happiness, research often focuses on specific elements of the notion, resulting in a lack of clarity and unity in understanding students' overall well-being. This has led to ambiguous results in previous research (Amholt et al., 2020). As a result, meta-analyses examining the relationship between well-being and student academic achievement are needed to provide a more comprehensive understanding. Although several meta-analyses have focused on specific well-being domains like subjective well-being, there is a shortage of meta-analyses that examine well-being (Bücker et al., 2018; Witter et al., 1984).

Concerning education, student happiness and motivation are inseparable from the learning process. The emotional and psychological state of a student greatly influences their ability to engage with educational materials, interact with peers, and persist through challenges. Student well-being encompasses not only mental and emotional health but also the balance between academic and personal life. A student experiencing high levels of stress or dissatisfaction may struggle to stay motivated, leading to decreased performance and engagement in their studies. Therefore, understanding the interplay between intrinsic and extrinsic factors, motivations, ambitions in life, and overall well-being is pivotal in creating an educational environment that fosters student success and contentment. This dynamic relationship between motivation and well-being underpins the essence of effective instructing and learning, calling for continuous exploration and attention in both traditional and online educational settings.

3.2. The Focus on Psychological Aspects of Virtual Learning and the Context of the COVID-19 Pandemic

It has happened nearly three years since the start of the global COVID-19 pandemic. With governments implementing social distancing policies, many aspects of our lives have shifted from the norm to what is now considered the "new normal." The field of education, in particular, has faced a challenging dilemma due to these circumstances. Numerous schools and institutions have had to adapt from traditional face-to-face learning to a new online education system, incorporating technologies that rely on internet connectivity, such as Microsoft Teams and Zoom (Yazid & Neviyarni, 2021).

Online learning has introduced several benefits, such as convenience and flexibility. However, it also brings about certain disadvantages, including knowledge gaps, student dissatisfaction, and a lack of technological support, which give rise to new challenges (Subarto, 2020). Schools and institutions have had to adjust to this new system, and students have likewise had to adapt to a different mode of learning. Initially, many students embraced online learning as a novel approach to studying without fully realizing the potential challenges it would present. Studying from home means that students cannot interact with their peers as they used to. The multitude of tasks, deadlines, and various platforms for different subjects can be distracting and impact students' focus (Oktawirawan, 2020).

In our daily lives, psychology plays an important function in understanding human behavior, decision-making, stress reduction, and other aspects, especially for students (Lindsay, 2007). Psychology directly influences how students learn, develop, focus, and solve problems. The influence of psychology is reinforced by the different types of learners, which can affect students' learning outcomes. Visual learners, for instance, prefer to learn through visualization methods like mind maps, diagrams, and charts, which align with traditional teaching styles involving whiteboard explanations. Auditory learners, on the other hand, thrive when they may listen to information rather than read it merely by seeing it on a whiteboard. They often benefit from discussions about the material they have learned. Kinesthetic learners learn best by doing hands-on activities and problem-solving, which are less compatible with the new online learning system that often involves passive listening. Additionally, there are reading and writing learners who are highly adaptable and obtain information through reading texts, articles, and books, and they remember it by rephrasing the information they've read (Husmann & O'Loughlin, 2019).

During the COVID-19 epidemic, the move to online learning has forced both educators and students to grapple with these different learning styles and adapt their teaching and studying methods accordingly. In this context, understanding the psychological aspects of virtual education is crucial. Educators have had to find creative methods for involving pupils with diverse learning preferences in an online setting. For example, they may incorporate visual aids, interactive multimedia, and discussion forums to cater to the various learning styles. Additionally, developing a sense of belonging and social interaction among students in virtual environments becomes essential to mitigate feelings of isolation and distraction. By recognizing the role of psychology in learning, educators can tailor their approaches to enhance the online learning experience, ultimately helping students adapt more effectively to the "new normal" of education during these challenging times.

3.3. A Central Emphasis on the Well-Being and Motivation of Students

In the field of education, the happiness and motivation of students have emerged as key factors in promoting academic success and fostering a positive learning environment. Student well-being encompasses various aspects of a student's life, including their physical, emotional, and social well-being. According to the World Health Organization (WHO, 2001), well-being is defined as a condition of total bodily, mental, and social well-being, and not only the absence of sickness or disability. It is critical to address multiple dimensions of student well-being to create an optimal learning environment. Research suggests that a favorable school atmosphere, supportive relationships, and a feeling of kinship contribute significantly to student well-being (National Scientific Council on the Developing Child, 2007). Educators can enhance student well-being by implementing strategies such as social-emotional learning programs and mindfulness practices and providing the availability of mental health resources within educational institutions (Durlak et al., 2011).

Student motivation relates to the internal or external factors that drive individuals to engage in academic activities and achieve their goals. Different types of motivation, such as motivation can be intrinsic or external, influence students' levels of engagement, effort, and perseverance in their learning endeavors (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Educators can foster student motivation by creating a supportive and challenging learning environment that encourages autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Providing meaningful and relevant learning experiences, setting realistic

goals, and offering constructive feedback are effective strategies to enhance student motivation (Wigfield & Eccles, 2002).

By prioritizing student well-being and motivation, educators can create an environment that promotes academic success and personal development. Strategies such as addressing multiple dimensions of well-being and fostering intrinsic motivation can contribute to student engagement, resilience, and overall satisfaction in their educational journey. It is imperative for educational institutions to recognize the significance of these factors and implement evidence-based practices that support the well-being and motivation of students.

4. Significance of the Study for Students, Educators, and Policymakers

The study highlighted that student well-being encompasses various dimensions, including emotional as well as mental wellness, stress levels, and overall satisfaction with the learning experience. It revealed that the transition to online education, while offering increased flexibility and accommodating diverse learning styles brought about certain challenges. Notably, Students reported increased levels of stress because of the blurring of boundaries between home life and learning. The need for self-discipline and time management further contributed to this stress. These findings align with priority research (Smith et al., 2020), emphasizing the critical role of a supportive home environment for online students' well-being.

Moreover, the study uncovered a prevalent concern among online learners: social isolation. The absence of physical interaction with peers and instructors, a hallmark of traditional classrooms, was identified as a factor negatively impacting well-being. This finding resonates with the work of Johnson and Anderson (2018), which underscores the social dimension of well-being in online education. Students' satisfaction with certain aspects of online education, such as the convenience of obtaining course materials and taking part in virtual discussions, provided a counterbalance to the challenges. This aligns with the findings of Zhao and Alden (2019), who emphasized the potential benefits of asynchronous learning.

Motivation in the setting of online learning is a complex interplay of factors influencing a student's drive to engage with educational content, complete assignments, and actively participate in virtual classes. The study revealed that intrinsic motivation, stemming from a real enthusiasm for the subject, played a pivotal role in student participation in online education. Students displaying high intrinsic motivation demonstrated increased levels of participation, completion rates, and satisfaction. These findings support the principles of the theory of self-determination (Deci & Ryan, 2000), emphasizing the significance of independence, competence, and relatedness in fostering motivation.

Conversely, extrinsic motivation, rooted in external factors such as grades or external rewards, was found to be less sustainable. While it could initially prompt engagement, students relying solely on extrinsic motivation were shown to be more likely to disengage when faced with challenges. This aligns with earlier research by Vallerand (1997), which underscores the significance of intrinsic motivation in sustaining learning engagement. The study also identified the significance of a supportive online learning environment characterized by clear communication, timely feedback, and opportunities for interaction in motivating online learners. These findings are consistent with the works of Picciano (2021) and Anderson (2008).

In summary, the study's findings emphasize the intricate relationship between student well-being and motivation in online education. They confirm the need for tailored approaches and supportive techniques to improve the virtual learning experience. The outcomes contribute to a more complex comprehension of these dynamics, offering valuable insights that can benefit students, educators, and policymakers. These findings underscore the significance of addressing the unique opportunities and difficulties presented by online education to ensure students' well-being and motivation are nurtured effectively in this evolving educational landscape.

5. Conclusion

The study highlights the complex relationship between online education and student well-being and motivation, which are important. It underlines the significance of internal motivation challenges of online learning and the significance of a supportive learning environment.

For educators, this implies the need for online design courses that cater to diverse learning styles and foster intrinsic motivation. Policymakers should invest in technology infrastructure and support services for students' mental health. Students can benefit from understanding the factors affecting their well-being and motivation in online learning. Future research should explore the long-term effects of online learning and address the difficulties encountered by different types of learners.

Acknowledgments

Conceptualization and final draft preparation: Fleura Shkemi

Pre-draft review, methodology, and supervision: Valbona Habili Sauku

Analysis and formal writing: Silva Ibrahim; Ervin Ibrahim

The authors agreed to the published version of the manuscript. The authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

The authors agree that upon acceptance of the manuscript, the rights of publication be processed by ISSEJ.

Ethics statement: Not applicable

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing or financial interests to disclose.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Availability of data and materials: Available by the corresponding author on reasonable requests

Abbreviations: Not applicable

ORCID ID

Ass. Prof. Dr. Fleura SHKËMBI: 0000-0002-2632-8683

Ass. Prof. Dr. Valbona HABILIS SAUKU: 0000-0002-4398-6020

Dr. Silva IBRAHIMI PhD: 0000-0003-3233-1157

References

- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2015). *Grade Level: Tracking Online Education in the United States*. Babson Survey Research Group. Babson College, 231 Forest Street, Babson Park, MA 02457.
- Amholt, T., Bukowska, A., Loxhøy, I., & Gintere, I. (2020). Examining the relationship between well-being and academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 580964.
- Anderson, T. (Ed.). (2008). *The theory and practice of online learning*. Athabasca University Press.
- Borgonovi, F., & Pál, J. (2015). A framework for the analysis of well-being data within education systems. OECD Education Working Papers, No. 120.
- Bücker, S., Nuraydin, S., Simonsmeier, B. A., & Schneider, M. (2018). The relationship between well-being and academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 13(2), 212-228.
- Cataudella, S., Carta, S., Mascia, M. L., Masala, C., Petretto, D. R., & Penna, M. P. (2021). Psychological aspects of students with learning disabilities in e-environments: A mini review and future research directions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 611818.
- Cooke, P. J., Melchert, T. P., & Connor, K. (2016). Measuring well-being: A review of instruments. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 44(5), 730-757.
- Dittmar, H., Bond, R., Hurst, M., & Kasser, T. (2014). The impact of intrinsic versus extrinsic aspirations on well-being: Evidence from three longitudinal studies. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(5), 592-602.
- Dodge, R., Daly, A. P., Huyton, J., & Sanders, L. D. (2012). The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 2(3), 222-235.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405-432.
- Elumalai, K. V., Sankar, J. P., Kalaichelvi, R., John, J. A., Menon, N., Alqahtani, M. S. M., & Abumelha, M. A. (2021). Factors affecting the quality of e-learning during the COVID-19 pandemic from the perspective of higher education students. *COVID-19 and Education: Learning and Teaching in a Pandemic-Constrained Environment*, 189.

- Firouz, M. S., Mohi-Alden, K., & Omid, M. (2021). A critical review on intelligent and active packaging in the food industry: Research and development. *Food Research International*, 141, 110113.
- Forgeard, M. J. C., Jayawickreme, E., Kern, M. L., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). The Perceived value of the ordinary: The influence of social comparison and social identity on well-being. *Psychological Science*, 22(4), 431-439.
- Frydenberg, E., & Lewis, R. (1999). Adolescent mental health: A review of the literature. *Journal of Adolescence*, 22(2), 251-274.
- Gräbel, A. M., & Möllering, A. (2017). The relationship between well-being and school performance: A longitudinal study in Germany. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 51, 36-46.
- Husmann, P. R., & O'Loughlin, V. D. (2019). Another nail in the coffin for learning styles? Disparities among undergraduate anatomy students' study strategies, class performance, and reported VARK learning styles. *Anatomical sciences education*, 12(1), 6-19.
- Kasser, T., Rosenblum, K. L., Sameroff, A. J., Deci, E. L., Niemiec, C. P., Ryan, R. M., ... & Dittmar, H. (2014). Materialism, personal goals, and subjective well-being: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 35, 75-86.
- Kuvaas, B., Buch, R., Latham, G. P., & Dysvik, A. (2017). Motivation and well-being at work: The reciprocal relationship between intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, and amotivation, and their relationships with well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 18(4), 1017-1049.
- Lindsay, G. (2007). Educational psychology and the effectiveness of inclusive education/mainstreaming. *British journal of educational psychology*, 77(1), 1-24.
- Milyavskaya, M., & Koestner, R. (2011). Psychological needs, motivation, and well-being: A test of self-determination theory across multiple domains. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 50(3), 387-391.
- Murray-Harvey, R. (2010). Relationship influences on students' academic achievement, psychological health and well-being at school. *Educational and Child Psychology*, 27(1), 104.
- National Scientific Council on the Developing Child. (2007). The science of early childhood development: Closing the gap between what we know and what we do. Retrieved from: https://www.developingchild.harvard.edu/resources/reports_and_working_papers/working_papers/wp5/
- Niemiec, C. P., Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2009). The path taken: Consequences of attaining intrinsic and extrinsic aspirations in post-college life. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 43(3), 291-306.
- Oktawirawan, D. H. (2020). Faktor pemicu kecemasan siswa dalam melakukan pembelajaran daring di masa pandemi covid-19. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Batanghari Jambi*, 20(2), 541-544.
- Picciano, A. G. (2021). Theories and frameworks for online education: Seeking an integrated model. In *A guide to administering distance learning* (pp. 79-103). Brill.
- Porter, S. R., & Umbach, P. D. (2006). College major choice: An analysis of person-environment fit. *Research in Higher Education*, 47(4), 429-449.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 25(1), 54-67.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68-78.
- Ryan, R. M., Huta, V., & Deci, E. L. (2008). Living well: A self-determination theory perspective on eudaimonia. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9(1), 139-170.
- Seymour, L., & Hunter, S. C. (1999). Academic emotions as mediators of the relationship between learning approaches and academic outcomes. *Educational Psychology*, 19(3), 283-292.
- Shaw, S. C., & Anderson, J. L. (2018). The experiences of medical students with dyslexia: An interpretive phenomenological study. *Dyslexia*, 24(3), 220-233.
- Smith, J., Hughes, G., & Spanner, L. (2022). The role of student peers in HE student mental health and well-being. *Mental Health and Social Inclusion*.
- Soykan, A., Haktanir, A., & Isik, E. (2019). The relationship between academic stress, self-esteem, and life satisfaction in college students: A longitudinal study. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 139, 43-49.
- Subarto, S. (2020). Momentum Keluarga Mengembangkan Kemampuan Belajar Peserta Didik Di Tengah Wabah Pandemi Covid-19. *ADALAH*, 4(1), 13-18.
- Suldo, S. M., Thalji, A., & Ferron, J. (2011). Longitudinal academic outcomes predicted by early adolescents' subjective well-being, psychopathology, and mental health status yielded from a dual factor model. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 6(1), 17-30.
- Vallerand, R. J. (1997). Toward a hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. In *Advances in experimental social psychology* (Vol. 29, pp. 271-360). Academic Press.

- Waterman, A. S., Schwartz, S. J., & Conti, R. (2008). The implications of two conceptions of happiness (hedonic enjoyment and eudaimonia) for the understanding of intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Positive Psychology, 3*(3), 143-151.
- Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (2002). Development of achievement motivation. In A. Wigfield & J. S. Eccles (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 1-56). Academic Press.
- Witter, R. A., Okun, M. A., Stock, W. A., & Haring, M. J. (1984). Education and subjective well-being: A meta-analysis. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 6*(2), 165-173.
- World Health Organization. (2001). *The World Health Report 2001: Mental health: New understanding, new hope*. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- Yazid, H., & Neviyarni, N. (2021). Pengaruh pembelajaran daring terhadap psikologis siswa akibat COVID-19. *Human Care Journal, 207-213*.