Research Journal in Business and Economics
ISSN: 3078-381X
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61424/rjbe.v4i2.936
Journal Homepage: https://bluemarkpublishers.com/index.php/RJBE

RJBE
BLUEMARK PUBLISHERS

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Brexit and the United Kingdom Economy: Challenges, Economic Implications, and Policy Recommendations

Deepto Chakraborty
University of Dhaka, Bangladesh
Corresponding Author: Deepto Chakraborty, **E-mail**: chakrabortydeepto85@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

Brexit represents one of the most significant political and economic events in modern British history. The United Kingdom's decision to leave the European Union (EU), following the 2016 referendum, fundamentally altered the country's relationship with its largest trading partner and reshaped its domestic economic landscape. While proponents of Brexit argued that leaving the EU would restore national sovereignty, reduce regulatory burdens, and create opportunities for global trade, the economic outcomes have been considerably more complex. Since the UK's formal departure from the EU in January 2020 and the implementation of the Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA) in January 2021, businesses have experienced higher trade costs, labour shortages, declining investment, and slower economic growth. Although Brexit has enabled the UK to pursue an independent trade and regulatory policy, these gains have yet to compensate fully for the costs associated with reduced access to the EU Single Market. This policy paper examines the principal issues arising from Brexit, analyses their economic implications using recent empirical evidence, and proposes practical policy recommendations aimed at mitigating long-term economic damage while enhancing the UK's competitiveness. The analysis argues that Brexit should not be viewed solely as a political event but as a long-term economic adjustment requiring strategic policy intervention. Strengthening trade facilitation, improving labour market flexibility, encouraging investment, enhancing productivity, and rebuilding cooperation with European partners are essential to ensuring sustainable economic growth in the post-Brexit era

| KEYWORDS

Brexit; United Kingdom Economy; European Union; Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA); Trade Barriers; Labour Market; Business Investment; Economic Growth; Regulatory Divergence; Foreign Direct Investment; Economic Policy; Post-Brexit Economy

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: May 11, 2026 **PUBLISHED:** July 10, 2026 **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.61424/rjbe.v4i2.936

1. Introduction

The United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union marked the culmination of decades of political debate concerning national sovereignty, immigration, and economic integration. On 23 June 2016, approximately 52 percent of British voters supported leaving the European Union, while 48 percent voted to remain. The referendum reflected deep divisions across regions, age groups, and economic sectors. Following years of negotiation, the UK officially left the European Union on 31 January 2020, ending nearly five decades of membership, while the Trade and Cooperation Agreement came into force on 1 January 2021, establishing the framework governing future UK-EU relations (HM Government, 2020).

Brexit represented far more than a constitutional change. Membership in the European Union had provided British firms with unrestricted access to one of the world's largest integrated markets, enabling the free movement of goods, services, capital, and labour. Leaving this economic framework inevitably introduced new barriers affecting trade, investment decisions, labour mobility, and regulatory cooperation. While Brexit supporters argued that these short-term disruptions would be offset by greater policy autonomy and enhanced global trade opportunities, many economists predicted that reduced integration with the EU would negatively affect productivity and long-term economic growth (Dhingra et al., 2017).

Several years after implementation, evidence increasingly suggests that Brexit has imposed measurable economic costs. Studies by the Office for Budget Responsibility (2024), the Bank of England (2023), the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2024), and the International Monetary Fund (IMF, 2024) indicate that Brexit has contributed to weaker trade performance, reduced business investment, labour shortages across multiple sectors, and lower potential economic growth. Nevertheless, Brexit has also provided opportunities for independent trade negotiations, regulatory flexibility, and domestic policy reform. The overall economic impact, therefore, depends largely on how effectively policymakers respond to emerging challenges.

This policy paper seeks to evaluate the economic consequences of Brexit by examining the major structural issues created by withdrawal from the European Union. It further proposes evidence-based policy solutions that can strengthen the UK's economic resilience and improve long-term competitiveness.

2. Background of Brexit

The United Kingdom joined the European Economic Community (EEC) in 1973, seeking greater economic integration with European neighbours following decades of post-war reconstruction. Membership gradually evolved into participation in the European Union following the Maastricht Treaty in 1993, expanding cooperation beyond trade into areas including labour mobility, environmental regulation, judicial cooperation, and financial governance.

Despite substantial economic benefits, public scepticism towards European integration remained persistent within sections of British society. Concerns centred on several issues, including immigration from EU member states, perceived loss of parliamentary sovereignty, financial contributions to the EU budget, and increasing regulatory oversight from European institutions. These concerns intensified following the 2008 global financial crisis and the European sovereign debt crisis, which contributed to growing political support for withdrawal from the EU.

In 2016, Prime Minister David Cameron announced a national referendum on EU membership. The Leave campaign argued that Brexit would allow Britain to "take back control" of its laws, borders, and trade policy, while the Remain campaign emphasised the economic advantages of continued membership. The referendum resulted in a narrow majority favouring withdrawal.

Following prolonged negotiations, the UK formally exited the European Union in January 2020 before entering an eleven-month transition period. Since January 2021, trade between the UK and EU has been governed by the Trade and Cooperation Agreement (TCA), which eliminates tariffs on qualifying goods but introduces customs declarations, rules-of-origin requirements, regulatory inspections, and other non-tariff barriers absent during EU membership.

Although the TCA prevented the immediate introduction of tariffs, businesses nevertheless experienced substantial increases in administrative complexity and compliance costs. Consequently, Brexit has altered the UK's economic relationship with its largest export market while requiring businesses to adapt to an entirely new regulatory environment.

3. Key Brexit Issues

3.1 Trade Barriers and Reduced Market Integration

Perhaps the most immediate consequence of Brexit has been the introduction of new trade barriers between the UK and the European Union. Although tariffs remain largely absent under the Trade and Cooperation Agreement, exporters must now comply with customs declarations, sanitary and phytosanitary inspections, product certification requirements, and rules-of-origin documentation. These administrative procedures increase transaction costs and reduce trading efficiency.

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) have been disproportionately affected because many lack the financial and administrative resources required to manage additional compliance requirements. Numerous smaller exporters have reduced or discontinued EU trade due to rising operational costs.

The manufacturing, agriculture, fisheries, and food-processing industries have experienced particularly significant disruptions because their production processes rely heavily on integrated European supply chains. Increased customs delays have reduced supply chain efficiency and increased inventory costs, weakening competitiveness.

3.2 Labour Market Challenges

Brexit ended the free movement of labour between the UK and European Union member states. Before Brexit, employers across agriculture, healthcare, hospitality, transportation, logistics, construction, and food processing depended heavily upon workers from other EU countries.

The introduction of the UK's new immigration system has reduced the availability of lower-skilled European workers, contributing to persistent labour shortages across several sectors. Employers have faced increasing recruitment costs while wage pressures have intensified.

The National Health Service (NHS) has experienced recruitment challenges due to declining numbers of European healthcare professionals. Similarly, seasonal agricultural production has been constrained by shortages of temporary workers, affecting domestic food production and increasing dependence on imports.

3.3 Business Investment Uncertainty

Investment decisions depend heavily on economic stability and predictable regulatory environments. The prolonged Brexit negotiations created years of uncertainty regarding future UK-EU relations, causing many firms to postpone investment decisions.

Several multinational corporations relocated parts of their operations to EU member states to maintain unrestricted access to the Single Market. Financial institutions transferred assets and personnel to cities including Dublin, Frankfurt, Amsterdam, and Paris, reducing London's dominance in certain financial market segments.

Lower business investment reduces capital accumulation, technological innovation, and productivity growth, ultimately slowing long-term economic performance.

3.4 Northern Ireland Protocol

Brexit created unique constitutional and economic challenges concerning Northern Ireland. To avoid establishing a hard border between Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland, special customs arrangements were introduced under the Northern Ireland Protocol, later revised through the Windsor Framework.

While these arrangements protect the Good Friday Agreement and preserve cross-border trade on the island of Ireland, they also create additional regulatory requirements for goods moving between Great Britain and Northern Ireland. Businesses have therefore faced increased compliance costs and political uncertainty.

The Northern Ireland issue continues to influence UK-EU diplomatic relations and remains one of the most politically sensitive consequences of Brexit.

3.5 Regulatory Divergence

Brexit enables the United Kingdom to establish independent regulatory standards across sectors, including financial services, agriculture, environmental protection, pharmaceuticals, artificial intelligence, and digital markets.

Although regulatory flexibility may encourage innovation and allow regulations better suited to domestic conditions, excessive divergence from EU standards risks creating additional barriers for exporters seeking access to European markets. Policymakers therefore face the difficult challenge of balancing regulatory autonomy with continued market access.

3.6 Political and Regional Inequalities

Brexit has also intensified political divisions within the United Kingdom. Scotland and Northern Ireland voted overwhelmingly to remain in the European Union, while England and Wales generally supported leaving. These differences have strengthened debates surrounding Scottish independence and constitutional reform.

Regional economic disparities have similarly become more pronounced. Manufacturing-intensive regions dependent upon European trade have experienced greater adjustment costs than areas dominated by domestic services. Consequently, Brexit has highlighted the importance of regional development policies aimed at improving productivity, infrastructure, and employment opportunities across all parts of the United Kingdom.

Overall, Brexit has transformed the UK's economic and political environment. While some anticipated benefits of greater policy independence have emerged, businesses continue to adjust to higher trading costs, labour shortages, and regulatory complexity. These structural issues provide the foundation for understanding Brexit's broader economic implications, which are examined in the next section of this policy paper.

4. Study Limitations and Areas for Future Research

This study has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting its findings. First, the analysis is primarily based on secondary data obtained from academic literature, government publications, international organizations, and policy reports. Consequently, the quality and conclusions of the study depend on the reliability, availability, and timeliness of these sources.

Second, the research adopts a qualitative policy analysis rather than an empirical or econometric approach. Although recent evidence is reviewed to assess the economic consequences of Brexit, the study does not statistically estimate the magnitude of Brexit's impact on key macroeconomic variables such as gross domestic product (GDP), trade volumes, productivity, employment, inflation, or foreign direct investment.

Third, Brexit remains an evolving process rather than a completed economic event. Changes in trade agreements, regulatory policies, immigration rules, political developments, and UK–EU relations may continue to influence economic outcomes over time. Therefore, the findings presented in this paper reflect the policy and economic environment at the time of writing and may require revision as new evidence becomes available.

Fourth, the study focuses primarily on the national economic implications of Brexit and provides only limited discussion of sector-specific and regional differences. Industries such as financial services, manufacturing, agriculture, fisheries, and higher education may experience Brexit differently, while economic impacts also vary across England, Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland.

Finally, although the paper proposes policy recommendations, it does not evaluate their effectiveness through implementation or quantitative policy simulations. Future policy outcomes may therefore differ depending on political priorities, institutional capacity, and international economic conditions.

Despite these limitations, the study provides a comprehensive overview of the major economic challenges associated with Brexit and offers practical policy recommendations for strengthening the United Kingdom's long-term economic resilience and competitiveness.

Future research could extend this study in several important ways. First, empirical studies employing econometric methods, panel data analysis, time-series modelling, or computable general equilibrium (CGE) models could provide more precise estimates of Brexit's long-term effects on economic growth, productivity, trade performance, inflation, labour markets, and investment.

Second, comparative research examining the experiences of the United Kingdom alongside other European economies could identify the institutional and policy factors that influence economic adjustment following changes in regional economic integration.

Third, future studies should investigate the sector-specific impacts of Brexit in greater detail, particularly within financial services, manufacturing, agriculture, healthcare, logistics, higher education, digital industries, and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Such analyses would provide more targeted policy recommendations for industries facing the greatest adjustment challenges.

Fourth, additional research should examine the long-term implications of regulatory divergence between the United Kingdom and the European Union. Future studies could assess how differences in product standards, environmental regulations, financial regulation, digital governance, and artificial intelligence policies influence international competitiveness and market access.

Finally, future research should explore the social and regional dimensions of Brexit, including labour mobility, income inequality, regional development, business confidence, and public perceptions of post-Brexit economic performance. Interdisciplinary studies integrating economics, political science, public policy, and international relations would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of Brexit's long-term consequences and inform future policymaking.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers
Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use Disclosure: The authors declare that no artificial intelligence tools were used in the preparation of this manuscript.

References

[1] Bank of England. (2023). *Monetary Policy Report: November 2023*. https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/monetary-policy-report/2023/november-2023
[2] Crafts, N. (2022). The economic implications of Brexit. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 38*(S1), S1–S16. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grac005
[3] Dhingra, S., Huang, H., Ottaviano, G., Pessoa, J. P., Sampson, T., & Van Reenen, J. (2017). *The costs and benefits of leaving the EU: Trade effects*. Centre for Economic Performance, London School of Economics. https://cep.lse.ac.uk
[4] Dhingra, S., Machin, S., & Overman, H. G. (2023). The local economic consequences of Brexit. *National Institute Economic Review, 263*, R1–R18. https://doi.org/10.1017/nie.2023.7
[5] HM Government. (2020). *The Future Relationship with the EU: The UK's approach to negotiations*. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-future-relationship-with-the-eu
[6] International Monetary Fund. (2024). *United Kingdom: 2024 Article IV Consultation—Press Release; Staff Report; and Statement by the Executive Director for the United Kingdom*. International Monetary Fund. https://www.imf.org
[7] Office for Budget Responsibility. (2024). *Economic and Fiscal Outlook*. https://obr.uk/efo/economic-and-fiscal-outlook-march-2024
[8] Office for National Statistics. (2024). *UK trade: March 2024*. https://www.ons.gov.uk
[9] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *OECD Economic Surveys: United Kingdom 2024*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/eco_surveys-gbr-2024-en
[10] Sampson, T. (2017). Brexit: The economics of international disintegration. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31*(4), 163–184. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.4.163
[11] Tetlow, G., & Stojanovic, A. (2018). *Understanding Brexit: A concise introduction*. Institute for Government. https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk
[12] UK Parliament. (2023). *The Windsor Framework*. House of Commons Library. https://commonslibrary.parliament.uk
[13] World Bank. (2024). *Global Economic Prospects*. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/global-economic-prospects